The democratization of international organizations

INTERNATIONAL DEMOCRACY WATCH

edited by Giovanni Finizio Lucio Levi Nicola Vallinoto



CENTRE FOR STUDIES ON FEDERALISM



The legal and political status of international parliamentary institutions

by Claudia Kissling

CENTRE FOR STUDIES ON FEDERALISM



Introduction¹

Since World War II, International Parliamentary Institutions (IPIs)² have mushroomed. They have, however, mostly been overlooked by the general public and only marginally noticed by governments. In 1939, only the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), the Nordic IPU³ and the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association (CPA) existed. Today however, over 100 informal or formal IPIs can be reported, not to mention all their subsidiary organizations. This paper contains an overview of all of them and classifies them according to their legal foundations, membership, organs, functions, and regional scope.⁴ The classification constitutes uncharted territory from the perspective of international law – part of the analysis is about finding a legal, general customary law status in international law of inter-parliamentary organizations and specialized agencies that has developed from treaties and practice.⁵

Not included here are domestic (intrastate) or cross-provincial parliamentary institutions whose actions clearly have only national dimensions or international dimensions at the interprovincial level,⁶ the bilateral friendship groups, which have emerged from the IPU, and other bi- or trilateral cooperation below the level of a

¹ This paper was published as a background paper of the Committee for a Democratic U. N. (Background Paper #4, January 2011, see <u>http://www.kdun.org/1290/the-legal-and-political-status-of-international-parliamentary-institutions/</u>). For helpful comments, I thank Andreas Bummel, Lucio Levi, Joseph E. Schwartzberg and Andrew Strauss, for proofreading Michael Cornish.

² I generally avoid using the terms "association" or "assembly" because these categories cross-cut the categories developed in this article. Yet, Inter-Parliamentary GRINGOs (Government Run/Inspired NGOs) might be termed inter-parliamentary associations, and Parliamentary Organs of International or Regional Organisations can be called assemblies; see below.

³ The Nordic IPU, given the founding of the Nordic Council in 1952, from 1957 onwards abandoned its practice of meetings every two years and decided to meet only when needed. For the past several years, the Nordic members in the IPU have been meeting again informally on the initiative of the Nordic Council to prepare the IPU conferences.

⁴ Basically, it is a summary of an earlier, more extensive study published in German, see Kissling (2006: 319-407). Since a similar study has been published in English, I limit this article to an overview; see De Puig (2008). Yet, De Puig's analysis only relates to 40 "supra-state parliaments," thus omitting more than three-fifths of all IPIs. Moreover, the legal classification presented here is original.

⁵ This is where this analysis differs from the classifications made so far, which are usually based on the similarity of IPIs to national parliaments in shaping and influence capabilities; see French National Assembly/Senate (2001). Others are based on the distinction between a grounding in international or national law; see Klebes (1990). Cutler's typology uses membership, purpose and geographical scope as well as stage of development as structuring elements; see Cutler (2001: 209-215).

⁶ We therefore do not include the Unión de Parlamentarios del MERCOSUR (UPM) or the Conference of European Regional Legislative Assemblies (CALRE), even though this provincial association (UPM) and assembly (CALRE) have intensive links to international organizations (Common Market of the South (MERCOSUR) and the EU (Committee of the Regions) respectively).

separate international or regional parliamentary organization,⁷ and party-related bodies. Moreover, I also exclude mixed non-governmental organizations (NGOs)⁸ or networks⁹ and mixed governmental, international organizations and parliamentary assemblies groupings¹⁰ in which parliamentarians are not represented as an organizationally separate main group. Finally, I leave out (non-recurring) events that do not demonstrate a minimum of (separate) institutionalization and structure¹¹ or are organized mainly by non-parliamentarian NGOs, even if parliaments co-organize them and/or contribute to them financially.¹²

Nevertheless, it can be said that IPIs have been constantly increasing in number as well as in scope of rights. Concurrently, the cooperation of national parliaments with regional inter-parliamentary structures has intensified.¹³ To give the reader an idea, before 1990, 40 IPIs existed, between 1990 and 1999, 51 new ones were founded, and after 1999, 68 newly established IPIs can be counted (sub-institutions and predecessors included).¹⁴ Considering this remarkable development, the lack of scholarly interest in

⁷ Such as the Association interparlementaire France-Canada, the British-Irish Inter-Parliamentary Body (BIIPB), the Commission interparlementaire franco-québécoise, the Forum of Parliamentarians of the Group for Interparliamentary Relations with the Russian Federation of the Seimas of the Lithuanian and of the Kaliningrad Oblast Duma, the Grande commission interparlementaire France-Russie, the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Sejm of the Republic of Poland and the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania, the Interparliamentary Assembly of The Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine and the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania and the Senate of the Republic of Poland, the Interparliamentary Assembly of Ukraine and the Seimas of the Republic of Poland, the Interparliamentary Assembly of Ukraine and the Seimas of the Republic of Poland, the Interparliamentary Assembly of Ukraine and the Republic of Poland, the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Russian Federation, the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Russian Federation, the Interparliamentary Commission on Cooperation between The Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine and the National Assembly of the Republic of Belarus, and similar joint committees and delegations of regional parliamentary assemblies, such as the European Parliament (EP).

⁸ As, e.g., the Asian Parliamentary Policy Analysis Network, the Commission on Global Governance or the Sustainable Use Parliamentarians Union.

⁹ Such as the Canadian-founded Africa-Canada Parliamentary Strengthening Program (ACPSP) which works on gender issues.

¹⁰ Such as the Regional Cooperation Council (RCC) as the successor of the Stability Pact for South Eastern Europe.

¹¹ Such as the occasional Interparliamentary Drug Control Conferences. The Young Parliamentarians Forum (YPF) of the Forum for East Asia-Latin America Cooperation (FEALAC) also seems to have been a one-time event. The Consultative Assembly of the Parliamentarians for the International Criminal Court and the Parliamentary Conference on the WTO that is jointly organized by the Inter-Parliamentary Union and the European Parliament are regular undertakings, but lack separate institutionalization.

¹² Such as the Interparliamentary Conference on Human Rights and Religious Freedom, organized by the Institute on Religion and Public Policy, or the Europe-Asia Conference of Young Parliamentarians, organized under the auspices of the Asia Europe Foundation (ASEF).

¹³ See Inter-Parliamentary Union (2005: 29).

¹⁴ This calculation is based on the tables in the Annex. For a demonstration of the exponential increase of IPIs since the 1980s, see also the chart in Šabič (2008: 261) even though Šabič does not include most of the GRINGOs enumerated here.

the issue is astonishing. Although there are articles and publications on single IPIs, and sometimes also comparisons of a few of them,¹⁵ synthetic studies¹⁶ or investigations into this phenomenon¹⁷ on a global scale are rare.

2. Inter-Parliamentary GRINGOs

The meta-term 'GRINGOs' (Government Run/Inspired NGOs) is understood to encompass more or less loosely structured entities to associate parliamentarians at the regional, supra-regional or international¹⁸ level. Examples of these types of international parliamentary institutions are Parliamentarians for Global Action (PGA) and the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association. They are not Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) in the original sense, *i.e.*, non-state associations established under private law. The non-state character of these organizations is problematic in that they are composed exclusively of parliamentarians who are a part of national legislative branches even when they act in a private capacity. Sometimes, they are even financed by parliaments. The term 'GRINGOs' reverts to a differentiation in academia distinguishing not only different forms of NGOs, but also borderline cases.¹⁹ Here, privately organized state administrative units are called 'QUANGOs' (Quasi-Non-Governmental Organizations), while legally independent organizations, which are dependent on public funding, are named 'GONGOs' (Government Organized NGOs). ²⁰ The so-called 'GRINGOs' describe individual initiatives of elected officers especially in developing countries in order to realize their political and economic interests, which are oriented towards close cooperation with state institutions.²¹ We list IPIs that are not an organ of an international organization or an international parliamentary organization or specialized agency among them since this term best fits these cases.

¹⁵ See Habegger (2005) or Habegger (2010).

¹⁶ See, for some of those studies, notes Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata and Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata. Another enumeration of international parliamentary assemblies and associations and their member states is contained in the Appendix to WEU Assembly (2000: 11-14). ¹⁷ See for some rare examples Šabič (2008: 261) or Stavridis (2006).

¹⁸ In the following classification, I use the term 'international' for such bodies that are not essentially regional in nature.

¹⁹ See Wissenschaftliche Dienste des Deutschen Bundestages (2001), or Krut et. al. (1997: 11-14).

²⁰ These two terms appear to be reversed given the organizations assigned to them. Yet, they are indeed used in the way described.

²¹ See Wissenschaftliche Dienste des Deutschen Bundestages (2001: 1).

Those organizations are set up under national law and consequently lack international personality.

2.1. Issue-related GRINGOs

A sub-category of this group may be named issue-related GRINGOs. A prominent example is the already mentioned PGA. These GRINGOs consist of single parliamentarians of diverse parties who have grouped together in order to lobby governments, society or business regarding specific issues. They do so in a more action-oriented way, basing their actions on research, information and the development of new and progressive approaches to solve particular problems. Most of such global organizations have national, or sometimes even regional, chapters. In respect to form and organization, they definitely use formal structures, though these are simple and unsophisticated. In some ways they resemble committees of a potential global parliament, but without taking binding decisions. In recent years, specialized agencies of the United Nations (UN) system have explicitly – sometimes even financially – supported the establishment of such networks that have a focus on their respective areas of concern.²² For this reason, the financial structures of these networks are mostly mixed (member contributions and support by NGOs, parliaments and international governmental institutions).

Tables I and 2 enumerate all existing network-GRINGOs, including founding date, membership, related governmental organization, if any, as well as regional and issue-related scope where it is not general. Given their sheer number (19 GRINGOs and 33 issue-related GRINGOs) and their lack of an international legal status, a (legal) evaluation and comparison is beyond the scope of this analysis.

3. International or Regional Parliamentary Organizations²³

International or regional parliamentary organisations are institutions whose members are official in the sense that national or regional parliaments dispatch delegations to

²² For the UN itself, see also the proposals of the Cardoso report, United Nations (2004).

²³ This and the next two chapters are built mainly on an evaluation of legal texts, such as international conventions, rules of procedure, resolutions, etc. In addition, the websites of the assemblies were consulted. Given the broad range of the material analysed, I do not give references to any single article, paragraph, or websites.

them.²⁴ Parliamentarians cannot join freely except as members of such a delegation. Mostly, these organizations are also more institutionalized than GRINGOs, and they possess full (legal) autonomy. We count 19 parliamentary organizations in this category, including the Inter-Parliamentary Union (*cf.* Table 3). They can be distinguished from international (governmental) organizations²⁵ by the actor who approves the founding act on the part of the state: in case of parliamentary organizations, this is the legislature, not the executive.²⁶

3.1. International personality sui generis

The most striking feature of these organizations from a legal point of view is that they possess an international personality sui generis. This is also the case for international or regional specialized agencies, which are dealt with in the next chapter. However, it is a novelty in international law. The empirical investigation of international or regional parliamentary organizations that is summarized in the following has yielded a derived and partial international personality sui generis of this type of organization. On the one hand, this originates from national parliaments being part of state authority; but, on the other hand, it is the consequence of increasingly merged foreign and domestic policy and a more important role and a greater involvement of national parliaments in foreign policy. However, due to the persistent dichotomy between government and parliament in foreign policy, this international personality remains mostly limited to their own, inter-parliamentary field of action. Nevertheless, even if these parliamentary institutions are not always recognized as international subjects by governments, it can be assumed that national parliaments as part of state authority feel themselves represented or feel that the people is represented internationally or regionally by these organizations, or at least acquiesce to their actions.

²⁴ Associations consisting only of the Speakers of Parliament or a parliamentarian designated by them do not fall under this category because parliament does not delegate them (see, e.g., for the Association of Senates of Europe, p.43. An exception is the Forum of the Presidents of the Legislative Powers of Central America (FOPREL) which, however, does not only consist of the Speakers of Parliament.

²⁵ For a definition or elements of a definition of an international (governmental) organization, see, e.g., Schermers and Blokker (2003: 26-37).

²⁶ Another difference concerns the founding act. In case of governmental organizations, the founding act is usually an international treaty, which is not necessarily the case for parliamentary organizations. But also governmental organizations in rare cases do not have such a treaty as their basis. Thus, the International Union of Official Travel Organisations (IUOTO), an NGO, was transformed into the World Tourism Organization (WTO), an intergovernmental organization, by a simple decision of its General Assembly.

From NGOs (GRINGOs), this type of parliamentary institution can be distinguished by a higher institutional (political, legal) range of influence, which corresponds to their international personality *sui generis*. They differ from specialized agencies and organs (see next two chapters) in that parliamentary organizations are not part of any international or regional governmental system. They are so-called stand-alone institutions. Although there might be an intergovernmental organization (IGO) or a governmental cooperation to which they feel related, and although some even have working ties with a governmental organization or cooperation (see below), the parliamentary organization remains completely independent. Compared to pure organs of IGOs, parliamentary organizations – as specialized agencies – have more independence and, as a rule, possess freedom regarding membership selection and authority over their own budget.

3.2. Mode of establishment

The manner by which parliamentary organizations are created varies. In some cases, an international governmental treaty forms the basis of their creation, as in the case of the Latin American Parliament (Parlatino). This can be taken as an indication for international personality endorsed by governments if the institution is also equipped with organs and the will of the parties to the treaty – *i.e.*, governments – to confer international personality to the parliamentary institution for the achievement of its ends can be identified.²⁷ In some cases, such as Parlatino, the conferment of international personality is even regulated by the international treaty itself.²⁸ In one case, namely, the Parliamentary Assembly of the Mediterranean (PAM), the Assembly self-confidently assigns international legal personality to itself through its Statutes. Mostly, however, the institution is established by a simple decision of parliaments or an international parliamentary treaty. Examples for this are the Euro-Latin American Parliamentary Assembly (EuroLat), the Forum of the Presidents of the Legislative Powers of Central America (FOPREL), and the South Caucasus Parliamentary Initiative

²⁷ See International Court of Justice (1949: 178).

²⁸ See its Institutionalizing Treaty of 1987 and the Headquarters Agreement with Panama of 2007 which both confer international personality to Parlatino.

(SCPI). Yet some, such as the Amazonian Parliament,²⁹ strive for the conclusion of an international governmental treaty.

3.3. Identification of personality

Parliamentary organizations acquire a completely novel status over time through the following features, which they possess as a rule: the adoption of statutes and rules of procedure, the setting up of organs, the delegation of representatives by national parliaments or by officially set-up member groups within national or regional parliaments,³⁰ and a budget.³¹ Many of them also have the right to conclude international treaties (Parliamentary Confederation of the Americas (COPA), FOPREL), even though the distinction between the right to conclude international treaties and the right to conclude private law contracts (e.g., the Association of Pacific Island Legislatures (APIL), African Parliamentary Union (APU), PAM, SCPI) is not always easy to draw.³² The requirement that an agreement has to be ratified by the organization (COPA,³³ FOPREL³⁴) or the lack of a private law status attributed by the statutes are indications for a right to conclude international treaties and, thereby, also for a conferment of international personality. Some also have the right to conclude

²⁹ The Amazonian Parliament since 1996 has started a process of institutionalization that is meant to lead to an international treaty, the "Tratado de Institucionalización del Parlamento Amazónico." Besides institutionalization and international personality, this process is to set up the Amazonian Parliament as an advisory organ of the Amazonian Cooperation Treaty.

³⁰ Here, FOPREL is an exception since the Presidents of national parliaments are *ex officio* members, whereas delegates to the Consultative Council are nominated by the Presidents, and the members of the FOPREL commissions by the commissions in national parliaments, in case no commission exists, by the Presidents themselves; see the Annex, note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

³¹ EuroLat seems not to have a separate budget; costs are as a rule paid by members themselves.

³² An indication for private law status is the referral of conclusion rights to the Secretariat or the Presidium. Thus, the agreements of SCPI have only to be authorized by the Presidium. APU's agreements with National Groups to organize APU Conferences are concluded by the General Secretariat.

³³ The General Assembly ratifies agreements or conventions concluded between COPA and other organizations.

³⁴ The Forum, as the main organ, constituted by the Presidents of national parliaments, decides about cooperation agreements, about treaties with parliaments from outside the region and with financial institutions.

both sorts of treaties.³⁵ Headquarters (APU,³⁶ IPU,³⁷ Parlatino³⁸) or mission (IPU³⁹) agreements with states per se point to a new international legal status since usually those agreements are only concluded between international organizations and states. In the case of NGOs, a simple legal registration in a state is usually sufficient. NGOs also do not have the right to set up diplomatic missions to international organizations. Moreover, the content of the headquarters or mission agreement can also indicate such a new legal status, e.g., when including diplomatic privileges and immunities, which are typical for IGOs, their members and staff (IPU, Parlatino). Of course, these privileges and immunities can also be conferred by other treaties (Parlatino⁴⁰). In some cases, the privileges and immunities are even conferred by the parliamentary organization itself (APU,⁴¹ PAM,⁴² Parliamentary Union of the Organisation of the Islamic Conference Member States (PUIC)⁴³), whereas governmental recognition remains unclear. This is different when only parliamentary immunity and/or indemnity is conferred, albeit this is important for strengthening parliamentary legal and political rights at the regional or international level. However, none of the organizations under this category enjoy parliamentary immunity or indemnity. What is decisive in the end regarding international personality is the will of the partners, *i.e.*, of the parliaments as part of state authority (constitutive recognition).

3.4. What kind of status?

When several signs so indicate and when several of the above-mentioned rights exist, it can be assumed that the institution has acquired a so-called "international

³⁵ Parlatino can conclude headquarters agreements with states (international treaties) and interinstitutional and inter-parliamentary treaties, which are only made by the President or the Secretary-General, while informing the Junta Directiva, which has a right to object and take the final decision.

³⁶ In 1983, Côte d'Ivoire concluded a headquarters agreement with the then Union of African Parliaments.

³⁷ See note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

³⁸ See note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

³⁹ See note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

⁴⁰ Art. 6 of the Institutionalizing Treaty of 1987 also confers theses rights.

⁴¹ Members of the General Secretariat of the APU are international civil servants.

⁴² According to the PAM Statutes and the Rules of the Secretariat, Members and staff enjoy privileges and immunities while discharging their duties in the territories of PAM Members. The staff is also granted tax exemption. Moreover, the Statutes require that "[t]he Member Parliament hosting meetings and/or activities of the Assembly shall guarantee access to its territory for all the representatives of Member and Associate Member Parliaments"; see Art. 10 of the Statutes. Such a duty cannot be guaranteed without the express agreement of the respective government.

⁴³ The PUIC Secretary-General enjoys diplomatic immunity according to the PUIC Statutes.

parliamentary status," which is still difficult to integrate into the existing international legal system and which up to now is mostly opposed by governments, despite intense discussion about the elimination of the international democracy deficit. This status encompasses the derived international personality of the respective institution and a range of action that goes far beyond that of an NGO. Such action is generally not limited with regard to the subject with which it is able to deal, but is often restricted in regard to oversight and legitimation. It is still open in respect to whom this international personality is applicable. "Law-making" can be addressed either to international organizations whose control they seek or to the respective states of the member delegations. However, in most cases "law-making" only contains non-binding resolutions, which, at best, can develop into soft law. It is unclear whether this international personality is also applicable to an IGO that dismisses an oversight role of the respective parliamentary organization or to a state whose parliament is participating and is in favour of such a control, but whose government is against. Probably, in such cases, contrary to IGOs, opposability can only be assumed towards those international law subjects (IGOs and states) whose behaviour at least implies recognition. This means that parliaments at the moment would have the capacity to express an international legal will; but this would not entail the capacity to speak for their state as such, let alone to create international law opposable to other states.

3.5. Relationship with IGOs

Despite their special international parliamentary status, the parliamentary organizations mentioned here do not legally belong to an IGO. They are stand-alone institutions, which makes it difficult, if not impossible, for them to develop any legislative or oversight functions with regard to governmental institutions at the international level. Nevertheless, some of them have quasi-official ties with intergovernmental organizations while they otherwise have remained completely independent. There are those which are founded by an intergovernmental treaty (Parlatino), or aspire to be recognized by an international treaty (Amazonian Parliament), which grants recognition by governments and ties with them *per se*. The Arab Inter-Parliamentary Union (AIPU) and the IPU have cooperation agreements with the League of Arab States and the United Nations Organization (UNO) respectively. Moreover, the APU, the IPU, and

PUIC possess observer status at the African Union (AU), the UN General Assembly (and the World Trade Organization (WTO)) and at the Organisation of the Islamic Conference (OIC)⁴⁴ respectively. The ASEAN (Association of South East Asian Nations) Inter-Parliamentary Assembly (AIPA) is even an 'Associated Entity' of ASEAN. EuroLat is recognized by governmental resolutions, which also invite EU-LAC (European Union-Latin American Countries) institutions to collaborate closely with the Assembly. The AIPA and EuroLat also have mutual attendance rights with their governmental counterparts. Moreover, the AIPA's Secretariat is located in Jakarta, which is ASEAN's headquarters. Additionally, regular cooperation is not unusual (e.g., the AIPA with ASEAN or EuroLat with the EU-LAC institutions, or the Forum of Portuguese Speaking Parliaments (FPLP) with the Community of Portuguese Language Countries (CPLP)). With regard to some Asian organizations, which, at first glance, look like inter-parliamentary GRINGOs, but are in fact independent parliamentary organizations, sponsorship by governmental organizations is not uncommon. Accordingly, the Asian Forum of Parliamentarians on Population and Development (AFPPD), for example, is sponsored by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), governments and national development agencies; the Asia Pacific Parliamentarians for Education (FASPPED) are financed by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO); and SCPI is sponsored by European governments.

3.6. Facilitation of regional integration

Apart from ties at the working level, some of the parliamentary organizations of this category have similar subject-related integrative aims as intergovernmental cooperation. Thus, AIPA, COPA and Parlatino have the aim to advance regional, economic, political, and cultural integration. With this general view in mind, these organizations mostly try to do preliminary work for the related intergovernmental organizations or to be catalysts for bringing intergovernmental cooperation into being. Thus, AIPA aims *inter alia* at facilitating the achievement of the goals of ASEAN and at

⁴⁴ The OIC usually grants observer status only to intergovernmental organizations. Nevertheless, a mere guest status was considered insufficient for PUIC by the OIC. Therefore, exceptionally full observer status plus support by the Secretary General was granted to PUIC; see OIC, *Report of the Secretary General on the Request by the Parliamentary Union of OIC Member States to Be Granted Observer Status at the OIC*, and OIC, Granting the "Observer" Status to the Parliamentary Union of the OIC member states, Resolution Nr. 68/27-P. This can be taken as a sign that parliamentary organizations of that sort belong to a special group of international actors that enjoy limited international personality.

offering parliamentary contributions to ASEAN integration. In this context, its General Assembly can propose legislative initiatives to ASEAN governments. COPA mainly was founded to foster hemispheric integration, especially regarding the planned Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA). In this connection, it aspires to represent the populations of the hemisphere. Parlatino since 1991 has been working for the creation of a Latin American Community of Nations (CLAN) at governmental level and a common citizenship.⁴⁵ Meetings with governments regarding this issue took place until the mid-1990s, but they did not achieve concrete results in respect to a Latin American community.

3.7. Other rights and tasks

Yet, all these rights at the working level and subject-related cooperation do not entail any genuine legislative or oversight right by these organizations vis-à-vis IGOs. Therefore, some parliamentary organizations adopt an alternative strategy, relying on national legislation. Their objective is to harmonize national legislation and achieve legal integration (AIPU, Asian Parliamentary Assembly (APA)), sometimes through framework conventions (FOPREL). This objective is remarkable, given the requirements of such an approach, namely, action independent from governments' action at the national or regional levels.⁴⁶ Of course, implementing regulations into national legislation usually requires not only the cooperation of national parliaments, but, in one way or the other, also of national governments.

Some other rights and actions by these sorts of organizations are surprising, considering their individuality. For example, EuroLat's powers expressly include the tasks of controlling and reviewing EU-LAC questions, and EuroLat is also able to act at the request of EU-LAC institutions and may formally address oral and written questions to those institutions.⁴⁷ Moreover, some conduct election observation missions (APU, COPA,⁴⁸ EuroLat, PAM). At Parlatino, each citizen or organization has

⁴⁵ See Fernández Flórez (2001a).

⁴⁶ In the case of FOPREL, this is especially noteworthy since FOPREL was founded as an explicit counterpart to Parlacen, which was founded by governments.

⁴⁷ EuroLat is somehow an exception here since it is included in the category of parliamentary organizations only because the related governmental partnership does not qualify as an intergovernmental organization. It thus already features first rights that are typical for specialized agencies, which are part of an intergovernmental organization's system.

⁴⁸ COPA also conducts good-offices missions.

the right to petition to the Junta Directiva. And PUIC's Council resolutions (on administrative matters) can be taken by simple majority and are binding to countries whose parliamentary delegates voted in approval. However, cross-border political party groups that can be seen as a sign for some common identity not defined by national origin do not exist within parliamentary organizations.⁴⁹ It should finally be mentioned that a new regional parliamentary organization is planned at the European level. In the context of the EU's recently established Eastern Partnership with Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Moldova, and Ukraine, an EU-Neighbourhood-East (EURO-NEST) Parliamentary Assembly is planned with the support of governments.

3.8. The Inter-Parliamentary Union

The prototype of an international parliamentary organization, and the only organization of this type at the international level, is the **Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU)**. Therefore, it makes sense to provide a brief overview of the working and status of the IPU in the current global situation.

The IPU, founded in 1889 as the first international political organization by national parliamentarians, has meanwhile developed from an NGO to an organization of national parliaments. The recent change of membership from national groups in parliaments (in most cases *de facto* the whole parliament) to parliaments *per se* in 2001 was one of the means used by the IPU to finally get recognition of its international personality by governments. Even though several of its features point to such a status, there are still voices of doubt.⁵⁰ However, it has concluded a headquarters agreement with Switzerland, possesses the right to set up a diplomatic mission in New York (since 1998),⁵¹ and has concluded international treaties⁵² with the UN,⁵³ with several

⁴⁹ They are built into EuroLat's Rules of Procedure, but are not put into practice.

⁵⁰ See, for some older voices, e.g., UN doc. Supplement No. 40 (A/33/40), 1978, and Hübner (1970). See also Klein and Lauff (1995) and also Bauer (1998: 204). Yet, Bauer acknowledges that the IPU is not an NGO in the conventional sense. Seidl-Hohenveldern and Loibl (2000: 4) speak of a formally non-state international organization in which states work together.

⁵¹ See the Accord entre le Conseil fédéral suisse et l'Union interparlementaire pour régler le statut juridique de cette organisation en Suisse of 28 September 1971 regarding the seat of the Union in Geneva, and the Executive Order signed by US President Bill Clinton on 7 August 1998 relating to the IPU office in New York. These treaties confer privileges and immunities to the Union which are typical for international organizations and name it as an international institution and public international organization respectively. The annual report of the Swiss Federal Council explicitly mentions the interstate character of the IPU. The negotiations with the neighbouring state, namely, France, regarding tax exemptions and other privileges for IPU staff living in France are, however, complicated.

UN Programmes, Trust Funds, Specialized Agencies, and Departments,⁵⁴ and with the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE).⁵⁵ Moreover, the treaties to be concluded with host parliaments for the yearly conferences are a further indication of its international personality,⁵⁶ so, too, are its active legations' rights, its intervention rights in cases of human rights violations of parliamentarians, and the jurisdiction of the Administrative Tribunal of the International Labour Organization in cases of disputes between the IPU and IPU staff members since 1975.

Therefore, a legal opinion of two international law professors was sought by the IPU in 1999 in order to clarify that the IPU enjoys a special status in international law. The opinion concluded that the IPU "is an international organization *sui generis*, that is, it is an international parliamentary, political and representative organisation" and that it "enjoys a significant measure of international personality."⁵⁷ Even though "the teachings of the most highly qualified publicists of the various nations" according to Art. 38 (1) (d) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice are only "subsidiary means for the determination of rules of law" in international law, it can be assumed that the IPU at least *de facto* has acquired a special inter-parliamentary status, which partly is recognized *de jure*. One signal confirming this view is the constantly high number of governments (more than 100 throughout the last ten years) sponsoring the UN General Assembly resolutions on "Cooperation between the United Nations and the

⁵² The IPU Executive Committee was closely involved in negotiations of the IPU Secretariat with the respective organizations. The results had to be ratified by the Executive Committee and then by the IPU (Governing) Council. The treaties can thus be classified as international treaties.

⁵³ Cooperation Agreement between the United Nations and the Inter-Parliamentary Union of 24 July 1996.

⁵⁴ With the UNESCO on 26 June 1997, with the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) on 12 August 1997, with the International Labour Organization (ILO) on 27 May 1999, a Memorandum of Understanding on Co-operation with the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights on 2 July 1999, a Programme of Cooperation with the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) on 27 October 1998, an Agreement with the United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) on 19 February 2004, a Partnership Agreement with the UN Democracy Fund on 17 October 2006, a Memorandum of Understanding with the UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs on the Global Centre for Information and Communication Technologies in 2006, an Agreement with the International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (International IDEA), the National Democratic Institute (NDI), UNDP, and the United Nations Development Fund for Women (UNIFEM) on the International Knowledge Network of Women in Politics (iKNOW Politics) in 2006, a Programme of Cooperation with the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) in 2007, and a Memorandum of Understanding with UNDP on 21 November 2007.

⁵⁵ Memorandum on Cooperation between the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe and the Inter-Parliamentary Union of 11 May 1993.

⁵⁶ It is assumed that these treaties cannot be concluded without the agreement of the respective state since they contain financial and other, e.g., visa obligations.

⁵⁷ See Brownlie and Goodwin-Gill (1999: 3-4).

Inter-Parliamentary Union." The International Labour Organization (ILO),⁵⁸ the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC)⁵⁹ and the UN recently expressly acknowledged this special inter-parliamentary status by accrediting the IPU to ILO, ECOSOC and international UN conferences⁶⁰ as an intergovernmental organization. Also academia seems to be slowly recognising such a special IPU status.⁶¹

Through advancing and intensifying its cooperation with the UN, a process that is officially phrased as 'providing the parliamentary dimension to the United Nations' (and the WTO), the IPU also aspires to greater acknowledgment of its special status. Accordingly, following the Cooperation Agreement with the UN of 1996, the IPU was granted observer status in the UN General Assembly in 2002, which included the right to circulate its official documents at the General Assembly. Moreover, for many years it has been organizing parliamentary conferences parallel to UN meetings and conferences. Since 2000, it has been holding World Conferences of Speakers of Parliament every five years, the third of which took place in July 2010 in Geneva. Furthermore, the IPU organizes an annual parliamentary hearing at the UN General Assembly, and since 2007 has done so jointly with the UN. If cooperation becomes even closer, the IPU at some point could become a specialized agency within the UN system. However, the Cooperation Agreement does not foresee this, and up to this point the political will of neither the IPU nor the UN seems to be heading in this direction.⁶² Thus, the IPU Committee on United Nations Affairs, set up in 2007, whose mandate points, inter alia, to typical parliamentary control mechanisms,⁶³ focuses on

⁵⁸ The Cooperation Agreement between the ILO and the IPU of 1999 explicitly states that "[t]he IPU shall be invited to participate in meetings of the International Labour Conference with the status of an official international organization."

⁵⁹ ECOSOC had been accrediting the IPU as NGO of Category A (now General) since 1946. For the past several years, however, it has not listed it as an NGO in consultative status any more, but rather as an intergovernmental organization.

⁶⁰ Starting with the UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development) X Conference in Bangkok in February 2000, the Third United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries in Brussels in May 2001, the International Conference on Financing for Development in Monterrey in March 2002, and the World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg in August/September 2002.

⁶¹ See, e.g., Yearbook of International Organizations (2001: 1236).

⁶² See also the results of the third World Conference of Speakers of Parliament of 21 July 2010; see CEUNPA News of 29 July 2010, <u>http://en.unpacampaign.org/news/521.php</u>.

⁶³ Its Advisory Group has the right to conduct investigations, including through field missions, on the implementation of the principles recommended by the Report on System-wide Coherence, on UN peacebuilding operations, on the implementation of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and other major international commitments. Among issues within its purview are also the nature, sources and use of the UN budget; financing for development; related UN reforms, and human rights (ratification of human rights treaties and functioning of the Human Rights Council); see Art. 2 of the

recommendations to national parliaments rather than on advice to governments and their international organizations. Regarding the WTO, the IPU – together with the European Parliament – set up an annual Parliamentary Conference on the WTO in 2003. The Union received observer status with the WTO in 2001.

4. International or Regional Parliamentary Specialized Agencies

International or regional specialized agencies, such as the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Parliamentary Assembly (NATO PA) and the Central American Parliament (Parlacen), resemble international or regional parliamentary organizations except for the fact that they are somehow integrated into an international governmental organization's system: they are 'official' IPIs founded by parliaments, their members are parliaments and they are more institutionalized than inter-parliamentary GRINGOs. There are currently I3 parliamentary specialized agencies worldwide (*cf.* Table 4). The empirical analysis suggests that this type of organization also has a derived and partial international personality *sui generis* and the political leverage going along with it. Therefore, the explanations in this regard in the previous chapter are also valid for international or regional specialized agencies.

4.1. Forms of IGO recognition

What distinguishes these organizations from international or regional parliamentary organizations is that they act within an international or regional governmental system. However, the conclusion of an international treaty for institutional cooperation between the agency and the related international organization is rather rare (the sole exception being the Baltic Assembly $(BA)^{64}$), even though it is typical for governmental specialized agencies of the UN system. Mostly, cooperation is based on a recognition by the governmental organization in one form or another, mostly through a simple decision (e.g., SADC (Southern African Development Community) Parliamentary Forum (SADC PF)⁶⁵) or regular mention in governmental resolutions (Euro-

Modalities for the Functioning of the Advisory Group of the IPU Committee on United Nations Affairs, http://www.ipu.org/cnl-e/182-advgr.htm.

⁶⁴ See the Protocol on Co-operation between the Baltic Assembly and the Baltic Council of Ministers of 1996, as amended in 2004. Paradoxically, treaties for mutual – even though looser – cooperation can be found in the context of international parliamentary organizations, e.g., the AIPU or the IPU, rather than in respect of parliamentary specialized agencies.

⁶⁵ See note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

Mediterranean Parliamentary Assembly (EMPA)⁶⁶) and in the Statute (Parliamentary Cooperation in South-Eastern Europe (SEE)),⁶⁷ through the attribution of a certain status,⁶⁸ but sometimes also through anchoring in an international treaty of the related IGO (BA,⁶⁹ Benelux Parliament,⁷⁰ Assembly of Caribbean Community Parliamentarians (ACCP),⁷¹ Francophone Parliamentary Assembly (APF),⁷² and Parliamentary Assembly of the Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (PABSEC)^{/3}). For some organs, this was also the starting point for their cooperation with an intergovernmental organization before they became organs of the organization, since which they no longer required any special status such as observer status. The Parlacen is an exception here, since cooperation with the intergovernmental system, the Central American Integration System (SICA), founded at the same time, is foreseen in its own intergovernmental Constitutive Treaty. Similarly, the Parliamentary Cooperation in South-Eastern Europe (SEE) provides for close operational contacts with the governmental Regional Cooperation Council (RCC) and other South-East (SEECP) European Cooperation Process bodies in its inter-parliamentary

⁶⁶ Governments even encouraged the founding process; see the Barcelona Declaration of 1995. OP 25 of the Presidency Conclusions of the Mid-Term Euro-Mediterranean Conference of Foreign Affairs Ministers of 26 and 27 May 2003 endorsed the consultative capacity of the newly set up EMPA within the Barcelona process. OP 17 of the Joint Declaration of the Paris Summit for the Mediterranean of 13 July 2008 considered EMPA to be the legitimate parliamentary expression of the Barcelona Process.

⁶⁷ The Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE is mentioned in resolutions and the Statute of the Regional Cooperation Council (RCC) as priority area for cooperation in the framework of the RCC and here as an overarching theme.

⁶⁸ Designation as institution of the governmental system (Assembly of Caribbean Community Parliamentarians (ACCP), Francophone Parliamentary Assembly (APF), Benelux Parliament, SADC PF), as oversight body (Central American Parliament (Parlacen)), related (Parliamentary Assembly of the Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (PABSEC)) or cooperating body (BA, North Atlantic Treaty Organization Parliamentary Assembly (NATO PA), Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE), as strategic partner (Baltic Sea Parliamentary Conference (BSPC)), observer (Conference of Parliamentarians of the Arctic Region (CPAR), SADC PF), or simply as consultative organization.

⁶⁹ See the Agreement on Baltic Parliamentary and Governmental Cooperation of 1994, as amended in 2003, setting up the parliamentary as well as the governmental body. See also note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

⁷⁰ See Art. 15, 23 and 24 of the Benelux Treaty.

⁷¹ See Art. 21 of the Revised Treaty Establishing the Caribbean Community of 2001, which accepts the ACCP as an Institution of CARICOM. This was already mentioned in a Protocol to the original Treaty of 1997 (under the name "Association of Caribbean Community Parliamentarians").

⁷² After the Summit of the Francophonie of 1989 had noted its character as an interparliamentary organisation and the Summit of 1993 had stated that it constituted the democratic link between governments of the Francophonie and its people and that recognized it as the consultative Assembly of the Francophonie, the Assembly was mentioned as an institution of the Francophonie and as its consultative Assembly in the Charter of the Francophonie of 1997, revised in 2005; see Art. 2 (4.).

⁷³ See Art. 20 of the Charter of the Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation of 1998. In conjunction with Art. 19 of the Charter, PABSEC qualifies as a BSEC related body, but not as a BSEC organ. It has its own budget and has consultative and cooperating functions regarding BSEC.

Memorandum of Understanding on Interparliamentary Cooperation in South East Europe as well as in its Terms of Reference. For the NATO PA, recognition can be said to be customary.⁷⁴ However, contrary to parliamentary organs of international (governmental) organizations, parliamentary specialized agencies have kept a certain independence vis-à-vis the governmental system. Thus, for example, they generally have full authority over their own budget. They can also freely select their members⁷⁵ and usually have developed independently from the intergovernmental organization.^{76, 77}

4.2. Mode of establishment

Specialized agencies, as parliamentary organizations, are in some cases founded by an international governmental treaty (ACCP, Benelux Parliament, Parlacen), which is a first sign of international personality. However, most are simply created by a decision or resolution of officially involved parliamentarians (e.g., SADC PF) or a declaration of speakers of parliaments (PABSEC). Some, however, are created through an international parliamentary treaty (Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE). International personality as such can also be directly assigned by other treaties or can even be self-

⁷⁴ Starting from the recommendation of the North Atlantic Council of 1967 to set up informal relations with the North Atlantic Assembly, the following responses of the Secretary-General of NATO to Assembly recommendations and resolutions, and the special legal status accorded to the PA by the Belgian Parliament in 1974. In 1974, a declaration of the Allied governments also endorsed the Assembly's work without naming it directly. Since 1997, NATO PA Presidents participate in NATO Summits of Heads of State and Government. Moreover, also in 1997, the Assembly was entrusted with monitoring the implementation of the NATO-Russia Founding Act and the NATO-Ukraine Charter on a Distinctive Partnership.

¹⁵ Exceptions are the ACCP, the BA, the Benelux Parliament, PABSEC, the SADC PF, and apparently also the IGAD (Intergovernmental Authority on Development) Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU-IGAD). ACCP membership is bound to CARICOM member status; see the Agreement for the Establishment of an ACCP. Yet, it has not organ status, but is accepted as an Institution of CARICOM; see Art. 21 of the Revised Treaty Establishing the Caribbean Community. The BA membership only is open for the three Baltic states; see Art. 1 (1) of its Statutes and Art. 3 of the Agreement on Baltic Parliamentary and Governmental Cooperation. The Benelux Parliament's membership is limited to the Benelux states; see Art. 1(2) of the Convention establishing a Benelux Consultative Interparliamentary Council. PABSEC's membership is bound to membership in BSEC; see its Rules of Procedure. However, it has not acquired organ status yet since it remains independent and is only related to BSEC. The SADC PF is similarly bound to membership in SADC; see its Constitution. Yet, it was only approved as an autonomous institution of SADC – not an organ –, in accordance with Art. 9 (2) of the SADC Treaty, by the SADC Summit in 1997. The IPU-IGAD's membership seems to be bound to IGAD member status.

⁷⁶ Exceptions here are the ACCP, the Benelux Parliament, and Parlacen, perhaps also the IPU-IGAD. The Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE has also developed parallel to the transfer of the Stability Pact activities to regional governments; see also below.

⁷⁷ For further delimitation of specialized agencies from mere organs, see also pp. 29 ff.

allocated by the organization itself through its statutes or rules of procedure (BA, Parlacen, SADC PF^{78}).

4.3. Other rights affecting legal status

All of the organizations mentioned here have statutes and/or rules of procedure, organs, a budget⁷⁹ as well as parliamentary delegations per state (provinces, communities) or region, either delegated by national or regional parliaments or by member groups in national or regional parliaments, or directly elected (Parlacen). Some also have the right to conclude international treaties (APF, Parlacen), though also in this case the distinction between public and private international treaties is difficult to discern. If an agreement has to be ratified by the organization (APF) or if the statutes do not attribute private law status, this can be taken as a right to conclude international treaties. Some have been conferred diplomatic privileges and immunities for the specialized agency's Secretariat, and for the organization and/or its members (PABSEC,⁸⁰ Parlacen,⁸¹ SADC PF⁸²). Additional diplomatic rights include, for example, the issuing of a diplomatic passport (SADC PF). All these indicate a new legal status, namely, international personality *sui generis*. Headquarters (Parlacen, SADC PF) agreements of specialized agencies with states do the same. However, also in the case of parliamentary specialized agencies, the will of parliaments is crucial to such a status.

⁷⁸ The SADC PF's Constitution provides in its Art. 4 (1) that it "shall be an international organization". The "legal personality" and "legal capacity" mentioned thereafter however seem to refer to private law status in member countries, including the right to enter into contracts.

⁷⁹ Exceptions here are CPAR and apparently also EMPA and the Regional Secretariat for Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE, which do not have a common budget. For CPAR, host parliaments pay for the biennial conferences and the meetings of the Standing Committee, apart from travel costs and accommodation, as well as for the Secretariat in a rotating manner. EMPA costs are, as a rule, paid by members themselves. The Regional Secretariat for Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE is hosted by Bulgaria, whereas the financial structure of the different forms of parliamentary cooperation in SEE (Conference of Speakers, Western Balkans COSAP, Cetinje Parliamentary Forum) is unclear. We nevertheless count them among the institutionalized specialized agencies since all the other criteria are met.

⁸⁰ Here, a Host Country Agreement was concluded between Turkey and BSEC concerning the PABSEC Secretariat, with a Protocol between the BSEC and PABSEC Secretariats following. The Agreement accords diplomatic privileges and immunities to the PABSEC Secretariat and leading PABSEC Secretariat figures. Moreover, a BSEC Protocol accords privileges and immunities to the organization, to PABSEC representatives and to the PABSEC Secretariat.

⁸¹ According to the Constitutive Treaty and the Headquarters Agreement.

⁸² The SADC PF, which is recognized as an autonomous institution of SADC, enjoys, in accordance with Art. 31 of the SADC Treaty in conjunction with the Protocol on Immunities and Privileges, the same diplomatic privileges and immunities as SADC itself for its Secretariat, staff and representatives. In accordance with its Headquarters Agreement with Namibia, the organization and its officials enjoy the same privileges.

However, 'law-making' as a rule only encompasses non-binding resolutions that possibly lead to soft law.⁸³ One exception seems to be the COSAP whose resolutions are binding if all member parliaments agree, which obviously goes beyond a soft-law character. In the case of specialized agencies, soft law or binding law might, however, be opposable not only to the parliaments involved, but also to the intergovernmental system concerned and the states involved that have recognized the parliamentary specialized agency.

4.4. Decision-making vis-à-vis IGOs

Rights not affecting legal status include parliamentary immunity and/or indemnity (Benelux Parliament,⁸⁴ PABSEC,⁸⁵ Parlacen,⁸⁶ SADC PF⁸⁷). However, those rights are significant with regard to oversight and law-making rights of an organization within a governmental system. Compared to parliamentary organizations, specialized agencies are the first category that, due to their inter-system status, might have the chance to acquire legislative and oversight powers. This is especially interesting when the related organization is a supranational organization with the corresponding independent competences delegated by national governments, including various forms of law-making. At least SADC⁸⁸ and SICA⁸⁹ seem to have already developed some supranational features and/or have taken political decisions to restructure the organization as a supranational organization. It is also pertinent when foreign and security policy, defence or legislation are express features of cooperation (see the Baltic cooperation).

⁸³ If this is not excluded, as in the case of the NATO PA. Art. 30 of its Rules of Procedure says that "[m]embers shall vote as individuals". Art. 29 provides that "[d]elegates shall speak and act on their own responsibility, and their statements shall not be binding on their governments or parliaments". This means that the non-binding resolutions also do not in themselves confer soft-law effects.

⁸⁴ According to a Protocol Additional to the Brussels Convention establishing a Benelux Consultative Interparliamentary Council.

⁸⁵ According to a BSEC Protocol; see note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

⁸⁶ According to the Constitutive Treaty, parliamentarians enjoy, in the state in which they were elected, those immunities and privileges as their national counterparts.

⁸⁷ According to Art. 31 of the SADC Treaty in conjunction with the Protocol on Immunities and Privileges as well as according to its Headquarters Agreement. See also Art. 4 (2) of its Constitution.

⁸⁸ Ruppel and Bangamwabo (2008) consider the SADC Tribunal as a supranational body. But SADC also has taken the political decision to restructure the Community as a supranational organization back in 2001.

⁸⁹ With SICA, Central America, for the first time on the American continent, expanded integration from the economic to the political sphere and set up the first regional supranational features, which encompassed peace, liberty, democracy and development; see Papageorgiou (2002: 8, 10, 13-14).

Some of the parliamentary specialized agencies have over time made their way from an independent parliamentary organization to a specialized agency as part of an intergovernmental system (APF, NATO PA). This has given them the first sign of recognition and relevance in the eyes of governments. Some of them (APF, BA, Conference of Parliamentarians of the Arctic Region (CPAR)) have preceded, and requested and facilitated governmental cooperation, which subsequently also gave them a natural place in the intergovernmental system. Yet their role, as a rule, is consultative and cooperative.

None of the specialized agencies has already acquired full (quasi-) legislative powers or co-decision rights with governmental organs⁹⁰ and they mostly do not have the right to (quasi-)legislative or, at least, political-legislative initiative for rules to be adopted for the whole system. They can only adopt resolutions, recommendations, proposals, declarations, opinions, decisions, appeals, or memoranda addressed to governments or governmental organs. Some of these, however, are adopted in response to formal requests of governmental organs or of international organizations, such as the opinions of APF, the NATO PA and of PABSEC, or some recommendations of the ACCP or the SADC PF, or some actions of EMPA. Laws, regulations or directives are not part of the repertoire of these IPIs. Yet, given the opposability of the resolutions adopted by them, their effect goes beyond those of parliamentary organizations.⁹¹ For example, recommendations by Parlacen can also expressly contain draft treaties and agreements, which amounts to a right of legislative initiative. The SADC PF can make recommendations on (draft) treaties referred to it by SADC. The Benelux Parliament can adopt opinions, especially in the form of recommendations, to the Committee of Ministers regarding cooperation in foreign policy and the unification of law. It can also be consulted by governments on draft conventions between the latter and on other problems. The resolutions mentioned here are mostly decided by majority vote.⁹²

⁹⁰ The planned transformation of the SADC PF might result in some sort of co-decision rights; see the Annex, note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

⁹¹ See also above.

⁹² Only the main parliamentary bodies of the BA, the BSPC, of EMPA, and of the SADC PF decide by consensus. APF displays an interesting connection with governmental representation by stipulating that, in cases of opinions and recommendations to bodies of the Francophonie, only those sections whose states or governments are represented at the Summit can vote.

4.5. Objectives

Harmonization of law, the strengthening of integration, and foreign policy constitute part of the self-attributed objectives of parliamentary specialized agencies, even though this is less remarkable for specialized agencies as opposed to the separate position of parliamentary organizations. Legal harmonization and integration are not only an objective of the Benelux Parliament, but also of the ACCP, of Parlacen, of the Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE (here the harmonization of legislation with EU standards), and of SADC PF. The ACCP also aims at enhanced opportunities for the coordination of foreign policies and at the adoption by governments of common policies. Both, however, already are integrative goals of the Caribbean Community and Common Market (CARICOM).

The implementation of decisions taken by governmental bodies or the monitoring of those bodies is the objective of several parliamentary specialized agencies, such as the ACCP, APF, the Baltic Sea Parliamentary Conference (BSPC), or the SADC PF. PABSEC urges national parliaments of the member states to enact legislation needed for implementation of the decisions by the Council of the Ministers of Foreign Affairs. It undertakes appropriate steps aimed at the realisation of legislation necessary to put in action relevant resolutions and decisions of the Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC). Some specialized agencies organize themselves in political groups.⁹³ This shows a tendency towards or aspiration of supranationalism or at least the development of cross-border identities.

4.6. Other powers and formal role vis-à-vis IGOs

If decision-making rights are few and have to be partly set off by self-proclaimed objectives, parliamentary specialized agencies, given their recognition by their governmental counterpart, still have institutionalized contacts with governments that go beyond those of parliamentary organizations. Some have observer status, which amounts to a special participatory status at the intergovernmental bodies (CPAR, SADC PF). On the other hand, a special status is sometimes also attributed to the intergovernmental body at parliamentary meetings (the intergovernmental Council of

⁹³ Parlacen has six parliamentary groups, the Benelux Parliament three, and the BA also three.

the Baltic Sea States (CBSS) has observer status at the BSPC; the NATO PA can assign a consultative status for international governmental organizations in general). If there is no special participatory status, there are mostly the rights to (mutual) attendance (ACCP, APF, Benelux Parliament, EMPA, NATO PA, PABSEC, Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE, SADC PF) and to make statements (ACCP, BA, Benelux Parliament, EMPA, PABSEC, SADC PF), sometimes even separate meetings of representatives of both bodies (BA,⁹⁴ BSPC, NATO PA⁹⁵) or joint activities (PABSEC). Furthermore, there is the obligation of the governmental part to report to its parliamentary body (ACCP, BA, Benelux Parliament, BSPC, NATO PA, PABSEC, Parlacen), also in response to recommendations of the parliamentary body (BA, NATO PA), and/or the obligation to (mutual) information (ACCP, PABSEC, Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE), and sometimes even the right of the parliamentary body to ask oral and/or written questions to the governmental body (ACCP, APF, BA, Benelux Parliament) and/or to conduct hearings (APF, Benelux Parliament). Special rights in this context include the SADC PF's Plenary Assembly's power to send for persons, documents and records in the custody of any SADC official or SADC institution.

Further rights include, for example, Parlacen's right to elect or nominate or remove the highest officer of bodies of SICA. Moreover, election monitoring can be carried out by APF (participating in electoral missions of the Organisation internationale de la Francophonie (OIF)) and by delegations of the NATO PA. The APF also organizes or participates in information or good offices missions. The NATO PA's sub-committees can also conduct fact-finding missions, and the ACCP's Committees may undertake missions with the approval of the Business Committee. Only Parlacen has the right to accept petitions of natural or legal persons. Since 1987, the President of the Benelux Parliament has been tasked with an Ombudsman function, which can be delegated to another Bureau member. Allowances for parliamentarians are not a practice for

⁹⁴ The BA and the Baltic Council of Ministers (BCM) cooperate through annual joint sessions, called "Baltic Council." Here, they have separate meetings of the BA and the Cooperation Council of the BCM, and joint sessions of the Presidium of the BA and the Co-operation Council of the BCM). Decisions are taken by consensus in the Baltic Council. Besides these meetings, there are joint committee and Secretariat meetings.

⁹⁵ The Assembly's Standing Committee meets annually with both the Secretary General and the Permanent Representatives to the North Atlantic Council at NATO headquarters to exchange views on the state of the Alliance and to provide the perspectives of legislators.

parliamentary specialized agencies. But some give their committees or other subbodies the right to take up an issue on their own initiative (ACCP, APF, BA,⁹⁶ and the Benelux Parliament⁹⁷). The commissions of the Benelux Parliament can also organize public hearings. Some features with a view to the public include a consultative status for non-governmental organisations (NATO PA) and the objective of ACCP to be a forum for people. The increasing role of IPIs is reflected in APF's objective to make known and to promote the international role of parliamentarians.

4.7. Independence from governments and budgetary control

Regarding Benelux cooperation, a government, in addition to sections of Parliament itself, can propose an emergency debate to the Benelux Parliament. Although this right does not give rise to concerns about the independence of the parliamentary body, other entanglements do. Thus, IGAD helped to set up the IPU-IGAD and the IGAD Secretariat first functioned as IPU-IGAD Secretariat, drafted its structure and budget, its terms of reference for the post of Secretary General, and finally coordinated the convening of the first IPU-IGAD Executive Council. With regard to ACCP, the Clerk of the Parliament of the host country, who also functions as Clerk of the Assembly, is aided by the Secretariat of the Caribbean Community. The BSPC's Secretariat is located in the Secretariat of the Nordic Council in Copenhagen. With regard to the Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE, its Secretariat prepares the Annual SEE Parliamentary Programme in consultation and close cooperation with not only the SEECP CiO (Chairmanship in Office) and SEECP Parliamentary Troika, but also the Secretariat of the governmental RCC. The Programme is then adopted at a Regular Supervisory Meeting and endorsed by the Speakers at their Annual Conference. Between the Annual Conferences, 'operational guidance and supervision' of the SEE Parliamentary cooperation is provided by Regular Supervisory Meetings. Participants in these meetings include the SEECP Parliamentary Troika at the level of Speakers of Parliament or their representatives, the Regional Secretariat for Parliamentary Cooperation, the Secretary General of the governmental RCC, the European Parliament, the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe, the OSCE

⁹⁶ The Presidium, committees, party groups, national delegations, and individual members of the BA have a right of initiative.

⁹⁷ Beside the right of initiative of commissions, five individual members of the Benelux Parliament can propose a recommendation to governments.

(Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe) Parliamentary Assembly (OSCE PA) and other selected partners and donors. The Regular Supervisory Meetings are held twice a year and are co-chaired by the SEECP CiO and the Secretary General of the governmental RCC. Here, the influence of governments as well as outside bodies is substantial. Furthermore, the BA binds its activities to the validity of the Agreement on Baltic Parliamentary and Governmental Co-operation. Thus, its activities would cease when the Agreement's validity is terminated. How much influence is exerted on the parliamentary body in these cases is debatable. Though, none of the specialized agencies noted in this chapter is represented as a member in a governmental body. However, there is one positive counter-example where a parliamentary tasks and functions: in PABSEC, members of the national parliamentary delegations cannot be members of the national government.

Another relevant factor can be the mode and sources of financing. Many of the specialized agencies mentioned here are partly or completely funded by government contributions, even though the adoption of the budget is an agency's own business. Parlacen's budget is wholly financed by states, but Parlacen decides on it. The NATO PA is funded by contributions from the parliaments or governments of member countries. NATO provides a subsidy. Special contributions - e.g., support for the Assembly's Rose-Roth programme – have been made by Norway, NATO and other countries. Another sponsor is the Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces. National delegations are in charge of funding the participation of their members in NATO PA activities. The SADC PF's budget is comprised of contributions by member parliaments, grants or donations from governments, SADC, and other international organizations and charitable institutions, including international parliamentary groupings. The IPU-IGAD's budget is completely paid by member states. EMPA is probably paid mainly by the European Parliament, assuming there is a separate budget. The BA, whose budget is funded by contributions of parliaments, seems to be an exception here. Strict sanctions in case of a failure to pay contributions and the suspension of voting rights are implemented at APF (up to loss of right to participate and loss of membership) and PABSEC, which at least urges parliaments to pay their contributions. Whilst independence regarding their own finances might not be

26

completely guaranteed, most of the institutions analyzed here have even less, if any, influence or control rights regarding the budget of the intergovernmental organization itself. Only the SADC PF can adopt recommendations on the SADC budget to SADC.

4.8. Political influence

Parliamentary specialized agencies can, over time, acquire creative influence once they are established, or even earlier as some sort of promise for the achievement of a certain goal, such as regional peace. Some interesting examples follow.

In 1990, when the NATO PA had not yet been expressly given a special status within the governmental system, it began to accept parliaments of Middle and East European (MEE) states as associate members. NATO itself was not as quick. With its Rose-Roth Seminars for parliamentarians and parliamentary staff – organized twice a year since 1990 – the NATO PA supported the democratization and restructuring of MEE states, which was also honoured by governments. It also began to conduct a parliamentary dialogue on the Mediterranean, which was subsequently taken up by the Mediterranean and Middle East Special Group. Given its strong commitment in these regions, the NATO PA in 1997 was charged by NATO with monitoring the implementation of the NATO-Russia Founding Act and the NATO-Ukraine Charter on a Distinctive Partnership. After the NATO-Russia Council was set up in 2002, the Assembly established the NATO-Russia Parliamentary Committee together with Russia. The Ukraine-NATO Interparliamentary Council and the Georgia-NATO Interparliamentary Council were also set up.

Another interesting example is the Central American Parliament (Parlacen). It was already contemplated as part of the peace process in Central America in the mid-1980s and was therefore included in the 1986 Declaration Esquipulas I and in the 1987 Peace Agreement Esquipulas II as a precursor of national democratic elections. When it was actually set up in 1991, it was given far-reaching rights, even though not all ideas discussed beforehand found acceptance by the governments involved.⁹⁸ Meanwhile, it is a well-established regional Parliament, which from time to time flexes its muscles in order to improve and accelerate regional integration and democratization as well as to increase its own powers.

⁹⁸ During the debates on its establishment, the attribution of real decision-making powers to it was seriously envisaged; see Papageorgiou (2002: 11).

Parlacen's vision has long been to strive for a Central-American and Dominican Union. In 1998, in a way similar to the European Parliament (EP) in the 1980s, it stimulated the regional integration process by presenting a draft text of a constitutional Treaty of Union. At the same time, it also elaborated a draft protocol requesting a substantial increase of its powers, such as the right to vote on the SICA budget, to control its implementation and to be consulted on all treaties and agreements relating to regional integration signed by member states as well as the right to elect the leadership of the regional authorities.⁹⁹ In 2001, it was openly aiming at a legal effect for its decisions.¹⁰⁰ This is a powerful example of how parliamentary bodies can affect intergovernmental decision-making processes, even if it proved to be only partially successful.

Other claims at constitutional changes have been proposed, for example, by the Benelux Parliament.¹⁰¹ Finally, a laudable initiative by APF promoted the Francophone Parliament of Young People and supports national Parliaments of Young People, such as those in Burkina Faso, Gabon, Mali, and Niger.

5. Parliamentary Organs of International or Regional Organisations

We here consider a group of 26 IPIs (cf. Table 5) that serve as organs of international, regional or supranational organizations. Examples are the EP, PACE or the Pan-African-Parliament (PAP). The capacity to act as an organ in some cases has customarily developed over time, following decisions or treaties of the participating parliaments, or declarations of the assembly itself and acknowledged at some point by the governmental organization. However, in most cases these assemblies and their

⁹⁹ See Papageorgiou (2002: 16-17, 19). The last request was approved; see above.

¹⁰⁰ See Fernández Flórez (2001b: 1).

¹⁰¹ The Benelux Parliament has proposed a revision of its Convention establishing a Benelux Consultative Interparliamentary Council to adapt it to the new Benelux Treaty (not yet in force) and to its Rules of Procedure.

organ capacity were set up or confirmed by an international treaty ¹⁰² and/or embedded in an international treaty for the governmental organization as a whole.¹⁰³

The organs can be main or subsidiary, but in either case, the institution is subordinated to a superior system of an international or regional organization. The transition to specialized agencies, as described in the previous chapter, is admittedly gradual. As organs, these institutions generally have their own statutes, their own subdivisions (organs) and their own budget, even though mostly lacking (exclusive) decision-making power in respect to their budget. To some extent, they can display distinct characteristics of an international law subject, such as diplomatic privileges and immunities for the assembly's secretariat,¹⁰⁴ and/or for the assembly and/or for its members,¹⁰⁵ or the capacity to conclude international treaties.¹⁰⁶ Beyond this, they participate in the personality of the organization as a whole.¹⁰⁷ Consequently, many parliamentary institutions of this type maintain some degree of independence, even though they function as organs of international or regional organizations.

Criteria that distinguish specialized agencies in the strict sense from parliamentary organs are the free selection of members, which is typical for specialized agencies. Membership of organs of governmental organizations, on the contrary, generally corresponds to that of the parent organizations. Moreover, specialized agencies have usually had a historically independent development.

¹⁰² African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States (ACP) Consultative Assembly, Andean Parliament, CEMAC Community Parliament, Committee of Members of Parliament of the European Free Trade Association (EFTA) States Party to the European Economic Area (EEA) (MPS), Inter-Parliamentary Assembly of Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States (IPA CIS).

¹⁰³ ACP-EU Joint Parliamentary Assembly (ACP-EU JPA), Andean Parliament, Arab Maghreb Union (AMU) Consultative Council, Assembly of the Western European Union (WEU), CEMAC Community Parliament, Conference of Community and European Affairs Committees of Parliaments of the European Union (COSAC), East African Legislative Assembly of the East African Community (EALA), Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) Community Parliament, EP, European Economic Area (EEA) Joint Parliamentary Committee (JPC), Interparliamentary Assembly of the Eurasian Economic Community (IPA EurAsEC), Interparliamentary Committee on the Dutch Language Union, MERCOSUR Parliament (Parlasur), Network of Parliamentarians of the Economic Community of Central African States (REPAC), Nordic Council, PACE, Pan-African Parliament (PAP), Parliamentary Assembly of the Belarus-Russia Union State.

¹⁰⁴ Andean Parliament, Parlasur, OSCE PA, West African Economic and Monetary Union (UEMOA) Interparliamentary Committee.

¹⁰⁵ Andean Parliament, Assembly of WEU, CEMAC Community Parliament, EP, IPA CIS, PACE, PAP, Parlasur, UEMOA Interparliamentary Committee.

¹⁰⁶ Andean Parliament (which has, for example, concluded a Headquarters Agreement with Colombia) and IPA CIS.

¹⁰⁷ The Constitutive Treaty of the Andean Parliament of 1979 explicitly mentions that the Andean Parliament has international legal personality and the capacity to exercise it – certainly a carry-over from its times as a specialized agency.

5.1. Main powers

Given their inclusion within an intergovernmental system, the organs of international or regional organizations dealt with in this chapter seem to be in a better position than the IPIs mentioned in previous chapters, including parliamentary specialized agencies, in regard to rule-making, control and legitimation power vis-à-vis their related international organizations. However, they only possess these powers to the extent granted by the parent organization. The scope of this power indeed varies. Most organs can only adopt recommendations addressed to governmental organs, although these can develop a soft law character over time.¹⁰⁸ Decisions are taken either by majority vote or by consensus (the latter mostly in Asia, sometimes in Africa).¹⁰⁹ In several cases, mutual reporting,¹¹⁰ attendance,¹¹¹ or addresses¹¹² of parliamentary and governmental organs are required or can be requested; or the assemblies have the right to address oral and/or written questions to governmental organs¹¹³ or can hear them.¹¹⁴ As a rule, the budget of the parliamentary assembly is not decided by the assembly if that body is financed through governments. This is only different when the financial sources of the assembly's budget stem from the national parliaments themselves.

However, parliamentary power at the regional/international level up to now mostly does not reach the level of power commonly attributed to national parliaments in a democratic state guided by the rule of law, *i.e.*, **full legislative** and **oversight**

¹⁰⁸ An exception here is the OSCE PA, whose recommendations are only politically and not legally binding, as is true of all OSCE decisions. Yet, contrary to other OSCE organs, the OSCE PA takes decisions by majority.

¹⁰⁹ One exception is the Central European Initiative (CEI) Parliamentary Dimension, which takes decisions by the Standing Committee (Bureau) according to the typical OSCE principle of consensusminus-one, likewise decisions by the Assembly on amendments to the Rules of Procedure. The OSCE PA, however, always takes majority decisions.

¹¹⁰ ACP-EU JPA, Andean Parliament, Assembly of WEU, CEMAC Community Parliament, EALA, ECOWAS Community Parliament, EP, Nordic Council, OSCE PA, PACE, PAP, Parlasur, Parliamentary Assembly of the Community of Portuguese Language Countries (PA CPLP), UEMOA Interparliamentary Committee.

¹¹¹ ACP-EU JPA, Andean Parliament, Assembly of WEU, CEI Parliamentary Dimension, EP, MPS, PACE, PAP.

¹¹² ACP-EU JPA, Assembly of WEU, ECOWAS Community Parliament, EP, PACE, PAP.

¹¹³ ACP-EU JPA, Assembly of WEU, CEMAC Community Parliament, EALA, EEA JPC, EP, MPS, Nordic Council, OSCE PA, PACE, PAP.

¹¹⁴ Andean Parliament, Assembly of WEU, CEMAC Community Parliament, EEA JPC, EP, MPS, Parlasur, UEMOA Interparliamentary Committee.

¹¹⁵ ECOWAS Community Parliament, OSCE PA, REPAC. The new CEMAC Community Parliament is financially autonomous.

functions, including the authority to decide about the budget of the organization as a whole or at least of that of the assembly. This is especially striking given the fact that many of the related international organizations explicitly have been given supranational powers¹¹⁶ or have at least the goal of legal harmonization.¹¹⁷ However, in many places discussion of the elimination of this 'international democracy deficit' is underway. In this context, slowly but surely a process of strengthening democratic oversight bodies of international organizations is evident.

5.2. Legislative and oversight functions

The East African Legislative Assembly of the East African Community (EALA) is the forerunner as the first – and so far the only – regional assembly that has full legislative powers – in addition to oversight and representative functions – as the legislative organ of the East African Community. Its powers are only limited by the assent of national Presidents to the Acts of the Community. Yet, in reality, its influence is curtailed by planning in the member states.¹¹⁸ The EP, often referred to as the model Parliamentary Assembly (PA) with the greatest legislative competence, has, in comparison, only co-decision rights with the Council of Ministers,¹¹⁹ even though these have been enlarged to new policy areas and made the privileged (ordinary) legislative procedure by the Treaty of Lisbon.¹²⁰ The new CEMAC Community Parliament has partial legislative powers since it legislates through directives and can to some extent also adopt binding opinions. In other cases, there are plans to set up parliaments or to

¹¹⁶ Such as the Andean Community, the EU, MERCOSUR, the Russia-Belarus Union State, or the planned Union of South American Nations (UNASUR), and many African communities founded in the context of the African integration process, such as the AU, CEMAC, the East African Community (EAC), the Economic Community of Central African States (ECCAS), ECOWAS, UEMOA, or the without any parliamentary body functioning Common Market for East and Southern Africa (COMESA).

¹¹⁷ Such as the AMU, the CIS, the Council of Europe, the EurAsEC, the GUAM (Georgia, Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Moldova), the Organization of the Collective Security Treaty (OCST), or the without any parliamentary body functioning Community of Sahel-Saharan States (CEN-SAD).

¹¹⁸ See Šabič (2008: 262).

¹¹⁹ Including a veto right of the EP at the end of the decision-making process.

¹²⁰ The so-called special legislative procedure, *i.e.*, the adoption of a legal act by the EP with the participation of the Council or vice-versa, only applies in a few remaining areas. In another few cases, the so-called consultation procedure applies, *i.e.*, the EP has to given an advisory opinion before a decision is taken by the Council of Ministers. Yet, the Council of Minister is not bound by the EP's position.

endow existing ones with full¹²¹ legislative powers or co-decision rights.¹²² In yet other cases, the assembly has the right of legislative¹²³ or at least political-legislative¹²⁴ initiative. Some parliamentary assemblies' have an explicit goal of harmonizing national legislation through model legislation and/or recommendations on national laws¹²⁵ or the consideration of draft international (framework) conventions among member states.¹²⁶ Others have the role of explicitly exercising control and oversight functions on the related international organization.¹²⁷ Often part of this oversight function is the consideration, by the parliamentary assembly, of international treaties to be concluded on behalf of the international organization.¹²⁸

The Andean Parliament (Parlandino) can suggest actions or decisions necessary for modifications, adjustments or new guidelines in relation to program objectives and the institutional structure of the Andean Integration System (SAI) (a constituent function). Its control function over the integration process includes not only reports and hearings, but also, in case of a failure of a body of the Andean Integration System, to submit a report by a wrongful act or omission, the lodging of a complaint with the Andean Presidential Council, or diplomatic actions and/or another legal action it deems appropriate. In case of an insufficient explanation, it can vote on a motion of political censure of the responsible representative and, if this is adopted, notify the Andean Presidential Council, the Andean Council of Foreign Affairs and the other bodies and institutions of the SAI that the Parlandino disagrees with the

¹²¹ See, for the PAP, the Annex, note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata, and, for the planned West African Parliament of ECOWAS, the Annex, note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

¹²² See the planned UEMOA Parliament; see the Annex, note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata. Negotiations regarding the Andean Parliament include also co-decision rights of the Parliament and a right of the Parliament to adopt the budget and to select the Secretary General of the Andean Community.

¹²³ The Andean Parliament can participate in the law-making process by suggesting to the bodies of the System draft rules and regulations on subjects of common interest for incorporation to the Andean Community Law. The Parlasur can propose draft MERCOSUR norms to the MERCOSUR Council. The Parliamentary Assembly (PA) of the Russia-Belarus Union State can put forward proposals with regard to the development of the Union's legal base for consideration by the Supreme Council of the Union.

¹²⁴ The EP can ask the EU Commission to present legislative proposals for laws to the EU Council. The PA of the Russia-Belarus Union State can submit legal proposals to the bodies of the Union State exercising the right to legislative initiative.

¹²⁵ E.g., the Andean Parliament, the GUAM PA, the IPA CIS/PA OCST, the IPA EurAsEC, the PA of the Russia-Belarus Union State, the Parlasur.

¹²⁶ See the Nordic Council and PACE.

¹²⁷ E.g., the Andean Parliament, the Assembly of WEU, the CEMAC Community Parliament, the EP, the Nordic Council, PACE, the PA of the Russia-Belarus Union State, the PAP, and the UEMOA Interparliamentary Committee.

¹²⁸ See the EP, the Nordic Council, PACE, and the PA of the Russia-Belarus Union State.

continuity of the respective representative as director of the agency or institution of the SAI. It can also exceptionally examine the conduct of senior officials of the bodies and institutions of the Andean Community regarding their political responsibilities in the running of the integration process or in the performance of their duties.

In other cases, parliamentary control is encouraged by national or international parliamentary representation in governmental organs of the international organization ¹²⁹ or by close cooperation between governmental and parliamentary organs.¹³⁰ However, in some cases governmental representatives are also part of parliamentary structures, a situation that does not give full independence to parliament.¹³¹ Moreover, some assemblies do not have the usual parliamentary right to take up an issue on their own initiative.¹³²

5.3. Budgetary authority

Regarding budgetary control, **EALA** is also the first – and so far the only – regional PA that has full budgetary authority: it debates and approves the budget of the East African Community (EAC). Yet, this power evaporates when there is a severe shortage of money as happened in recent years when the EAC had to rely on external funding.¹³³ Since the changes made by the Lisbon Treaty, the **EP** has the right to co-decide on the EU budget as submitted by the EU Commission in its entirety together with the EU Council. The **Nordic Council** nearly has budgetary authority: it considers the draft joint budget of the Council of Ministers and the Council itself, the latter being drafted

¹²⁹ In the case of the Russia-Belarus Union State, the Speakers of both chambers of national parliament of Russia and Belarus are represented in the Supreme State Council, the leading body of the Union State. Regarding the Community of Portuguese Language Countries, the President of the PA CPLC sits in the CPLP Conference of Heads of State and Government.

¹³⁰ Thus, PACE cooperates with the Committee of Ministers through an enlarged Joint Committee made up of one representative per member government and a corresponding number of PACE parliamentarians, including the President. Moreover, the Bureau of the Assembly and the Committee of Ministers can set up a mixed working party for the discussion of a particular item. The Nordic Council closely cooperates with its national counterparts. In the case of the CEI, Ministers of Foreign Affairs Meetings are used to organize joint sessions of the enlarged CEI Troika at the level of Ministers of Foreign Affairs and the enlarged Troika of the CEI Parliamentary Committee in order to discuss the strategic goals and challenges of the CEI and the contributions of the PD to them.

¹³¹ The UEMOA Interparliamentary Committee outside sessions can only set up working groups by its Bureau with the consent of the UEMOA Commission. The President of the Commission, the Director of the Central Bank of the West African States and the President of the West African Development Bank assist consultatively in the work of the Committee. And the Nordic Council's Secretariat is partly jointly administered with the Secretariat of the Nordic Council of Ministers.

¹³² The Arab Transitional Parliament can only give its opinion on questions that are addressed to Parliament by the Council of the Arab League.

¹³³ See Šabič (2008: 266-267).

by its Presidium. Unless there are extreme causes not to do so, the Council of Ministers has to comply with the recommendations of the Council regarding the budget. The **Common Market of the South (MERCOSUR) Parliament** (**Parlasur**) elaborates and approves its own budget, and informs the Council about its execution, though it is entirely paid by state contributions. Budgetary authority is especially important for maintaining independence where parliaments are part of governmental systems, since contributions will often be transferred from governmental sources. In the case of the MERCOSUR Secretariat budget, Parlasur receives a report on its execution.

The PAP can discuss the AU's budget and make recommendations prior to its approval by the AU Assembly. Its own budget is an integral part of the AU budget and is drawn up by the PAP and will be approved by the AU Assembly until such time as the PAP starts to exercise legislative powers. This has already caused some trouble when some AU member states did not pay their membership fees in recent years 134 – an example of how much budgetary authority, at least over the parliamentary budget, necessary to maintain independence. The Parlandino can formulate is recommendations regarding the annual budget estimates of the bodies and institutions of the system that are financed through direct contributions of the member countries. Over the budget of its Central Office, paid by member states, it has complete control. **PACE** prepares its own budget, which is part of the Council of Europe budget paid by member states, and is consulted on the Council of Europe budget as a whole. The Parliamentary Assembly of the Russia-Belarus Union State is allowed to consider the draft budget of the Union. And the CEMAC Community Parliament is financially autnonomous.

The **Assembly of the Western European Union (WEU)**¹³⁵ expresses its views in the form of an opinion or recommendation on the annual budget of the Western European Union, even though this is now limited. The partial budget concerning the Assembly itself is drawn up by the Assembly, but ultimately has to be adopted by the Council. The **OSCE PA** has a Special Representative on the OSCE budget. The Bureau of the Economic and Monetary Community of Central Africa (CEMAC)

¹³⁴ Ibid., p. 266.

¹³⁵ The WEU as an organization is dormant and will be closed by June 2011 since its tasks have been taken over by the EU as per the Lisbon Treaty. This includes the abolition of the Assembly of WEU.

Interparliamentary Commission makes suggestions about the indemnities as well as transport and accommodation costs of the Commission members as to be included in its budget, which is fixed by the CEMAC Council of Ministers. Similarly, the President of the West African Economic and Monetary Union (UEMOA) Interparliamentary Committee is heard in respect to the amount of indemnities for the Committee members, which are fixed by the UEMOA Council on the recommendation of the Commission.

5.4. Other rights and functions

Apart from these main parliamentary powers, the parliamentarians of many regional parliamentary assemblies also enjoy parliamentary immunity and/or indemnity.¹³⁶ Some also receive emoluments or an allowance,¹³⁷ which contributes to their independence. Political (party) groups, however, are still uncommon.¹³⁸ On the other hand, assemblies often have the right to conduct election observations and/or fact-finding missions.¹³⁹ Some can also conclude cooperation agreements with other parliamentary assemblies and/or non-member parliaments.¹⁴⁰ Others can ask the relevant court of the intergovernmental system to deliver an advisory opinion.¹⁴¹ The EP can even ask the European Court of Justice to annul an EU act or can take the EU Commission of the Council to court for failure to fulfil its obligations. The Parlandino can bring to the Court of Justice of the Andean Community issues that create doubt in the application of the Andean Community's legal order.

¹³⁶ See the Assembly of WEU, the CEMAC Community Parliament, EALA, the ECOWAS Community Parliament, the EP, PACE, the PAP, the Parlasur, REPAC, the UEMOA Interparliamentary Committee. In the Andean Parliament, the Andean parliamentarians enjoy, in their own state, the parliamentary privileges and immunities attributed to their national colleagues.

¹³⁷ See the EP, the PAP, REPAC, or the UEMOA Interparliamentary Committee. In the Andean Parliament, parliamentarians receive allowances and other benefits as provided for in the budgets and regulations of their country.

¹³⁸ Political groups exist in the Assembly of WEU (3), the EP (7), in the Nordic Council (4), and in PACE (5).

¹³⁹ The ACP-EU JPA, the Andean Parliament, the Assembly of WEU, the EP, IPA CIS (since 1994), the OSCE PA (since 1993), PACE, and the PA CPLP.

¹⁴⁰ The PA of the Russia-Belarus Union State. The Andean Parliament can even conclude agreements with third countries, international bodies, national or subregional integration parliaments, etc. The Parlasur can conclude cooperation and technical assistance treaties with national or international public and private organisations.

¹⁴¹ The ECOWAS Community Parliament may request the Community Court of Justice to express a legal opinion on any legal text of the Community. The Parlasur can ask for an advisory opinion of the MERCOSUR Permanent Revision Tribunal.

PACE elects the members of the European Court of Human Rights and of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture as well as the Commissioner for Human Rights. It also appoints, on the recommendation of the Committee of Ministers, the Secretary General and Deputy Secretary General as well as the Secretary General of the Parliamentary Assembly having the rank of Deputy Secretary General of the Council of Europe. The EP has to give its assent to the appointment of the members of the Executive Board of the European Central Bank. More importantly, it approves or rejects the appointment of the College of Commissioners by the Council and, as a whole, the appointment of the College of Commissioners by the member states. In several cases, citizens and/or natural or legal persons residing or having their registered office in a member state have the right to address petitions to the relevant PA.¹⁴² The EP even has an Ombudsman responsible for maladministration. The CEMAC Community Parliament can set up inquiry commissions.

Moreover, the Nordic Council is an example of initiation of governmental cooperation. It existed almost twenty years before and initiated the Nordic Cooperation. Finally, it should be mentioned that the Union of South American Nations (UNASUR), whose Constitutive Treaty of 2008 is not yet in force, foresees a South American Parliament, to be set up by an additional protocol drafted by national and regional Members of Parliament. The South American Parliament will have the task of leading the development and implementation, in coordination with the Bank of the South, of the new single currency for the region.

5.5. The European Parliament

Specifics relating to some PAs are also worth noting. Above all, the **EP** is often cited as the most advanced PA in the world. Even though this is not always the case, as we have indicated, it surely is the regional assembly with the most long-standing tradition of progressive acquisition of parliamentary rights and with a strong claim on these rights leading to political visibility of the Parliament. Today, it does not yet possess all rights that national democratic parliaments enjoy in principle,¹⁴³ but its parliamentary

¹⁴² Valid for the Andean Parliament, the Assembly of WEU, the ECOWAS Community Parliament, EALA, the EP, the Parlasur, PACE, and the PAP.

¹⁴³ For example, it does not have – similarly to the EU as a whole – the so-called competencecompetence, *i.e.*, the authority to confer legislative competences to itself. Consequently, it also does not have the competence to impose taxes. Moreover, it does not even have the right of legislative initiative.

rights of legislation and control are at least extensive. Thus, beside the abovementioned co-decision rights, including those with regard to the EU budget, and its appointment rights, it has the right to control and grant discharge to the EU Commission (and other institutions) in respect to the implementation of the EU budget and can censure the Commission and force it to resign as a whole. It hence possesses some serious political means to sanction EU institutions, especially the Commission. In two instances, namely, in 1984, and in 1998 for the budgetary year 1996, the EP refused to give discharge to the Commission. In 1998, it did so for mismanagement and inadequate transparency leading to the resignation of the Santer Commission.¹⁴⁴ In 2009, it refused to grant discharge to the Council for the budgetary year 2007.¹⁴⁵ Moreover, the EP can set up inquiry committees. This was, for example, done in the context of the mad cow disease, which eventually led to the foundation of a European veterinary agency.

Besides the influence of the European Parliament based on its legal rights, the EP has also significantly shaped the European agenda in a political way. In some instances, it was even a catalyst for significant change. Thus, in the middle of the so-called "eurosclerosis" in 1984, the EP courageously presented a draft constitution for a federal EU with a genuine parliament, which brought the EU out of its paralysis, revived the reform process,¹⁴⁶ and concurrently also led to an increase in its own powers.¹⁴⁷ Having pioneered regional parliamentarianism in a supranational organizational context, the EU is also a good example for learning and adapting its structure and working methods in respect to otherwise overlooked challenges. With the direct election of the EP in 1979, the link to national parliaments in the structure of the EU was lost. On the one hand, national parliaments became active by setting up the Conference of Community and European Affairs Committees of Parliaments of the European Union (COSAC) in 1989. This action was explicitly meant to strengthen the democratic dimension and control of the European process by involving national parliaments. On the other hand, the EU learnt, little by little, from that experience and responded to complaints of a democratic deficit¹⁴⁸ by strengthening the role of national

¹⁴⁴ See European Parliament (2009: 10).

¹⁴⁵ Ibid., pp. 16-17 and EP res. P6_TA-PROV(2009)0273.

¹⁴⁶ See Bummel (2010: 35-36).

¹⁴⁷ See Šabič (2008: 262).

¹⁴⁸ See, e.g., Bundesverfassungsgericht (1993).

parliaments,¹⁴⁹ by institutionalizing COSAC¹⁵⁰ and by including national parliaments in the Convention that drafted the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and the Constitutional Treaty.

Finally, it should be noted that under the Lisbon treaty, the EU needs the EP's consent to conclude international agreements. In one of the first cases under the new arrangement, the EP refused in February 2010 to rubber-stamp the interim SWIFT agreement between the EU and the US on the transfer of citizens' financial data to prevent terrorist attacks, dealing a blow to both EU governments and US authorities. The EP has thus acquired a considerably strengthened position with regard to some international questions in which the EU is involved, for example in world trade or climate policy.

5.6. The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe

PACE is the first parliamentary assembly that was set up as an organ of an international organization. It has a *de facto* legal right of co-decision in the accession of new members to the Council of Europe, which was especially significant during the accession time of the East European countries in the 1990s.¹⁵¹ It also has a longstanding tradition of working with NGOs and enables them to acquire a consultative status that entitles them to hand in memoranda to the committees, which can, in turn, also consult NGOs. Moreover, an agreement of PACE with national parliaments of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) member states that are not members of the Council of Europe, was approved by the OECD Council and has opened the scrutiny of PACE parliamentarians to the OECD realm. Every year, the Secretary General of OECD presents a report for a PACE OECD debate and answers questions of parliamentarians. This debate takes place during a PACE meeting

¹⁴⁹ Starting with the 13th Declaration annexed to the Maastricht Treaty of 1992, a Protocol on the Role of National Parliaments in the European Union annexed to the Amsterdam Treaty of 1997 fixed consultation and information rights of national parliaments; see for the Protocol in the Lisbon version http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2008:115:0201:0328:EN:PDF, Art. 1-9. See also the Protocol on the Application of the Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality; *ibid.* for the Lisbon version.

¹⁵⁰ See the Protocol on the Role of National Parliaments in the European Union; see Art. 10 of the Lisbon version, *ibid*.

¹⁵¹ More precisely, according to Art. 41 (d) of the Statute of the Council of Europe, an amendment to Art. 26 of the Statute, which lists the number of representatives per country in PACE, has to be approved by it. Moreover, the Committee of Ministers had decided to consult PACE in every membership question.

enlarged by OECD parliamentarians from countries that are not members of the Council of Europe.

PACE regularly publishes reports and launches investigations that attract international public attention. Two prominent examples are its investigations into secret detentions and illegal transfers of detainees involving Council of Europe member states by the Central Intelligence Agency conducted in 2007 and into the handling of the HINI pandemic by the World Health Organization conducted in 2010.

6. Conclusions

The different international parliamentary institutions analyzed in this article, different though they are with regard to their legal powers and their effectiveness, have one feature in common: they all introduce a democratic element into regional and global governance. Mostly, they are no longer just restricted to a mutual exchange on national internal practices, but are also concerned with the foreign policy of their states and with issues of a global scope that are – or should be in their view – on the agenda of regional or international governmental decision-making.

6.1. Exponential growth of IPIs

The exponential growth of IPIs in recent years is remarkable, as is their increasing institutionalization and transformation from looser forms of cooperation to more influential, effective and genuine parliamentary bodies with a legal status in international law. This dynamic evolution could be observed especially during the last 20 years, whereas the escalation of newly founded GRINGOs and networks (at least 38 since the year 2000) has still not come to an end. There is no clear trend towards one or the other category, even though the increase in organs – the category that embraces those with the most genuine parliamentary functions – from 13 in 1999 to 26 in 2010 is truly remarkable.

6.2. Steady increase of rights and competences

Almost no IPI, however, – with EALA as a single exception – as yet has full legislative and control rights vis-à-vis its corresponding governmental organization. Nevertheless, a steady increase in rights and competences can very often be observed once an IPI has been set up. The upgrade and extension of rights throughout the years of the European Parliament is one example. Sometimes, the deepening of parliamentary rights is initiated by the parliament itself; the EP and Parlacen are powerful examples.

6.3. Awareness of difference between oversight and representation

There is a growing awareness of the difference between a parliamentary oversight organ within a regional or international governmental system and the representation of national parliaments within the system. There are more and more regions in which both types of organizations coexist, e.g., in Africa the PAP and the APU, in Europe the EP and COSAC, for Arab states the Arab Transitional Parliament (ATP) and the AIPU, and for Portuguese speaking countries the PA CPLP and the FPLP. These IPIs have different tasks, goals and functions and are both necessary in a democratically organized regional or international system.

6.4. Danger of overlaps?

Of course, aside from the foregoing examples, in some cases overlapping mandates provide cause for serious concern. Thus, EMPA and PAM have the same area of operation, even though memberships are different. Furthermore, in recent years, interregional cooperation has started between regional parliamentary institutions. For example, there is a range of Parliamentary Assemblies of the EP/EU member parliaments with other regions.¹⁵² This might give the impression that overall, duplication of work and efforts could become a real problem. Yet, national parliaments seem to be aware of this. Little by little, steps are taken to achieve greater, though still limited, coordination and coherence in inter-parliamentary activities.¹⁵³

6.5. Inner structures, elections and goals

If one compares the inner structures of IPIs, it is interesting to note that many institutions are building on the example of the IPU with regard to their legal and organic structures, especially in Asia, Africa, and in the Arab world. Apart from that, there are regional similarities in structure and functioning, even though these are

¹⁵² ACP-EU JPA, EMPA, EuroLat, and the EURO-NEST PA as soon as it is founded. By the way, they are the only IPIs in which representatives of the North and the South come together on an equal footing for a north-south dialogue. Yet, the Assemblies costs are mainly financed by the European side.

¹⁵³ See Inter-Parliamentary Union (2005: 29).

diminishing. Moreover, nine IPIs have representation below the national level and five also include former parliamentarians. Four IPIs are directly elected, ¹⁵⁴ three of them in Latin America, one (EALA) is indirectly elected, others, mostly on the African continent, are planned to be directly elected in the near future ¹⁵⁵ and/or to obtain legislative functions.¹⁵⁶

Many new IPIs are planned, either as new institutions¹⁵⁷ or as a transformation from existing ones to more institutionalized structures,¹⁵⁸ the latter mostly in Africa. If parliamentary structures are part of an inter-governmental institution, the latter, like the former, are often modelled on the European Union and its Parliament, the EP. This was the case for the African Union and the Pan-African Parliament and will also happen for UNASUR and its South American Parliament. Moreover, since more and more governmental organizations aim at acquiring supranational features or at least some degree of regional integration and the harmonization of law, parliamentary structures serve the purpose of facilitating these goals. This may at some point make international treaties obsolete. Often, it is the IPI which takes the initiative to insist on greater integration and to stimulate the integration process.¹⁵⁹

6.6. Overcoming the international democratic deficit

Globalization definitely has changed the world. Issues increasingly need to be decided at higher levels, many globally, in order to achieve effective solutions or regulations. Regional or subject-related integration is therefore advancing. The increasing number and rights of IPIs testify to this and indicate a need for parliamentary control of these regional and global problems. Or, as de Puig states: "We have entered a new age, the

¹⁵⁴ EP, Parlacen, Parlandino, and Parlasur (from 2011 onward). Some suggest introducing a separate category for directly elected IPIs. However, the classification of those IPIs according to the system used here does not change through direct elections. They remain organs or specialized agencies, etc. Though, they definitely have more legitimacy and political, eventually also legal weight.

¹⁵⁵ The PAP and some planned parliaments, such as the planned Arab Parliament, one chamber of the planned Union Parliament of the Russia-Belarus Union State, and the planned West African Parliament of ECOWAS. Moreover, the CEMAC Community Parliament and the planned UEMOA Parliament are supposed to be directly elected at a later stage.

¹⁵⁶ The PAP and the planned West African Parliament of ECOWAS, and, apparently, also the planned SADC Parliamentary structure.

¹⁵⁷ EURO-NEST and the South American Parliament.

¹⁵⁸ The Arab Parliament, a Parliament of ECCAS, the SADC Parliamentary structure, the UEMOA Parliament, the Union Parliament of the Russia-Belarus Union State, and the West African Parliament of ECOWAS.

¹⁵⁹ As was the case for the APF, the BA, the CPAR, the Nordic Council, Parlacen, and Parlatino.

global age, an age of regional co-operation and integration, which requires a certain degree of parliamentary control, a supra-state parliamentary dimension."¹⁶⁰

What is at stake is, in short, the overcoming of the international democratic deficit that is growing more and more as decisions are transferred to other, regional and international levels. Of course, IPIs are not a panacea, even if they are endowed with extensive oversight and legislative powers. They generally lack continuity through their periodical elections and are sometimes hampered in their effectiveness through structures that favour tedious participatory procedures and debate. Public ignorance of their actions and importance is widespread and makes IPIs less relevant than they could be. And the overabundance of information and issues to be addressed overstretches the capacities of IPIs, just as is frequently the case with regard to other governmental, public or private institutions. Nevertheless, IPIs provide moral legitimation for international decision-making by making it more transparent, accountable and participatory. They put public pressure on mighty governments, which is sometimes remarkable and has real political effects, regardless of any entitling legal rights.¹⁶¹ Moreover, as bodies composed of elected representatives that are accountable to their constituents, IPIs in principle make sure that the people are not ignored and that public participation is secured. At last, they bring the world closer to national decision-making, whereas they are, at the same time, better attuned to take decisions in favour of the common good, rather than being based solely on national interests.

6.7. A global parliamentary assembly

Considering the growing acceptance and importance of IPIs throughout the world, it is remarkable that major international intergovernmental organizations do not yet possess any formal parliamentary organ, not even in an advisory capacity. In particular, this is the case with regard to the United Nations, the World Trade Organization, the World Bank Group and the International Monetary Fund. Those parliamentary institutions that do exist and relate to the activities of these IGOs, such as the Inter-

¹⁶⁰ See De Puig (2008: 8).

¹⁶¹ Šabič (2008: 264-266), e.g., very well describes the pressure and subsequent results of the Parliamentary Forum on Small Arms and Light Weapons, especially in Spain; the success of, among others, PGA in the promotion of the International Criminal Court; or of the NATO PA through its Rose-Roth seminars for the former socialist parliaments.

Parliamentary Union, the Parliamentary Conference on the WTO, or the Parliamentary Network on the World Bank, are not willing – and not legally able – to exert any considerable influence on their agenda and decision-making, let alone exert formal oversight. The analysis of already existing IPIs, however, confirms that not every IGO necessarily needs a separate parliamentary body.¹⁶² This is the case for the United Nations and its vast sub-system of programmes, funds, specialized agencies and other related bodies. It would suffice to create one parliamentary body, a United Nations Parliamentary Assembly (UNPA) for international cooperation per se.¹⁶³ It could function as a parliamentary umbrella and, as such, even contribute to overcoming the increasing issue-related fragmentation at the global level. In order to remain effective, it could refer to subject matters and their related IGOs at the commission level, where one would also expect the participation of other IPIs, national parliaments and civil society.¹⁶⁴ One could also think of initially establishing such a UNPA with limited competence in one or a few specific subject areas, such as global climate policy¹⁶⁵ or world trade.¹⁶⁶ Its scope could later be extended incrementally. In any case an UNPA would contribute significantly to overcoming an ever-growing legitimacy gap at the international level.

¹⁶² Similarly, see Bummel (2010: 19).

¹⁶³ See also the Committee for a Democratic U.N.'s strategy paper on the subject, see Bummel (2010).

¹⁶⁴ This aspect builds on the suggestion of the Cardoso report that "experimental global public policy committees" should be created (United Nations 2004: paras. 106-113).

¹⁶⁵ See Bummel et. al. (2010).

¹⁶⁶ The European Parliament, for example, still endorses the proposal of setting up a WTO PA, see EP 2008, para. 19.

Annex

Organization	Foun- dation	Members ¹⁶⁸	Related Gov. Organization <i>Rol</i> e
A. International or supraregional			
Asia-Europe Parliamentary Partnership Meeting (ASEP) ¹⁶⁹	1996	National parliaments of ASEM countries + European Parliament (EP)	Asia-Europe Meeting (ASEM) (members of ASEAN and EU) Issue provider; addressee for recommendations
Commonwealth Parliamentary Association (CPA) ¹⁷⁰	1911	Branches of P in national, provincial, state and territorial parliaments of countries of the Commonwealth with parliamentary democracy	Commonwealth Reference point for membership
Conference of Parliamentarians of Italian origin	?	P	-
Conferences of Presidents of Ibero- American Democratic Parliaments (Conferencia de Presidentes de Parlamentos Democráticos Ibero- americanos) (defunct since 1999?)	1983	Speakers of Iberoamerican parliaments	-

Table 1. Inter-Parliamentary GRINGOs¹⁶⁷

¹⁶⁷ As of March 2010.

 $^{^{168}}$ P = parliamentarians; IPI = international parliamentary institutions; G = governmental representatives; CS = civil society representatives.

¹⁶⁹ The Meeting is only slightly institutionalized and thus does not qualify for more than GRINGO status. Even if it were to one day, it will probably not qualify as a specialized agency related to or as an organ of ASEM, even if official links were to exist, as long as ASEM is only a loose dialog and not an international organization.

¹⁷⁰ Even though branches have to be set up officially by parliaments, they remain autonomous and the CPA is a private law charity organisation, a status that, according to Art. 37 (2) (a) of its Constitution, cannot be changed, and does thus not qualify as an international parliamentary organization. Although the Commonwealth Heads of Government endorsed the CPA, it remains a separate entity not directly linked to governmental actions.

	1000		e
Euro-Mediterranean Speakers Conference (defunct since 2005?)	1999 (1997/ 1998 ¹⁷¹)	Speakers of the national parliaments of the EU member states and the Southern Mediterranean countries Algeria, Egypt, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Morocco, the Palestinian territories, Syria, Tunisia, and Turkey	Euro- Mediterranean Partnership (Barcelona Process) ¹⁷² Reference point for membership and issue provider
G8 Speakers' Conference	2002	Speakers of the national parliaments of Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Russia, the United Kingdom, the United States, and the President of the European Parliament	Group of Eight (G8) Reference point for membership and issue provider
Senates of the World (Sénats du monde) ¹⁷³	2000	Senates of the world	-
State Legislative Leaders Foundation (SLLF)	1972	No membership organization (US P act as members of Board of Directors)	- (financed by busi- nesses represented on Advisory Council)
World Hellenic Inter-Parliamentary Association (W.H.I.A.)	1996	P of Greek origin in non-Greek speaking countries	-
B. African			
Conference of Speakers of West African Parliaments	1999	Speakers of West African parliaments	ECOWAS Addressee for lobbying in favour of integration and the Community Parliament
C. American			
Indigenous Parliament of America (Parlamento Indígena de América)	1988	National indigenous P from North, Central and South America	Gov. / intl. org. Sponsors, et al.

¹⁷¹ With limited participation of parliaments directly concerned by the Barcelona process.

¹⁷² Meanwhile the Union for the Mediterranean; see p.59.

¹⁷³ The organization is not institutionalized sufficiently to qualify for more than GRINGO status. See also the Association of Senates of Europe, see p.44, and the Association of Senates, Shoora and Equivalent Councils in Africa and the Arab world, p. 54.

Inter-Parliamentary Forum of the Americas (Foro Interparlamentario de las Américas, FIPA) ¹⁷⁴	2001	National parliaments (both chambers) of OAS member states	Organization of American States (OAS) Initiator; sponsor; et al., provider of logistics; addressee for information and inter-parliamentary participation Gov. Sponsors, et al.
D. Asian/Pacific			
Asia Pacific Parliamentary Forum (APPF) ¹⁷⁵	1993	National P (delegation of parliaments or individual P) from Asia-Pacific Region, in particular from ASEAN member nations, the APEC group, and the Pacific Islands Forum	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC), Pacific Islands Forum, Pacific Economic Cooperation Council, (PECC), and The Pacific Basin Economic Council (PBEC) Issue provider
Association of SAARC Speakers and Parliamentarians ¹⁷⁶	1992	Speakers and P of national parliaments of SAARC countries (branches per parliament)	South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) Addressee of suppor)
E. European		•	
Association of Senates of Europe (Association des Sénats d'Europe) ¹⁷⁷	2000	Presidents of European Senates or P nominated by them	-
Barents Parliamentary Conferences	1997	National, local, regional, and indigenous P	Barents Euro- Arctic Region (BEAR) Issue provider, reference point for conference organization
Conference of Speakers of the European Union Parliaments	1963	Speakers of the national parliaments of the EU member states and the President of the European Parliament	European Union (EU) Reference point for membership and issue provider

¹⁷⁴ The Forum is insufficiently institutionalized (e.g., the Secretariat is hosted by the Parliamentary Center, a Canadian NGO) and is therefore qualified as GRINGO.

¹⁷⁵ APPF's structure is too loose to qualify for more than GRINGO status.

¹⁷⁶ The Association of SAARC Speakers and Parliamentarians was recognized by the governmental counterpart SAARC and since then has been enjoying "distinct status" while remaining an independent body. Yet, it neither was founded by an international treaty nor does it have a parliamentary-funded regular budget (contribution are voluntary and can also be made by institutions, organizations, and individuals). It thus remains an association or GRINGO.

¹⁷⁷ The Association is insufficiently institutionalized to qualify for more than GRINGO status. See also the Senates of the World, p. 43.

The Legal and Political Status of International Parliamentary Institutions - Claudia Kissling

European Conference of Presidents of Parliament	1988	Speakers and Presidents of parliaments of the member states of the Council of Europe and the Presidents of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe, the European Parliament and the Assembly of the Western European Union	Council of Europe (CoE) Reference point for membership and issue provider
Inter-Parliamentary Assembly on Orthodoxy (IAO)	1993	Parliamentary delegations or groups of members of parliament consisting of orthodox P of certain European countries	-
Interregional Parliamentary Council (Conseil Parlementaire Interrégional, CPI) ¹⁷⁸	1986	Delegations from province, state and national parliaments of the <i>Grande Région</i>	Executives of the provinces, states, and countries of the Grande Région and their joint organs Addressee of consultative oversight
Parliamentary Association of the South European Cooperative Initiatve (SECI) (defunct?)	?	?	South European Cooperative Initiative (SECI)

¹⁷⁸ As membership is mostly on province and state level, the cooperation at the governmental level is less institutionalized and does not qualify for international law personality and as the founding treaty is not an international law treaty, it is classified as GRINGO here.

Organization Purpose	Region and regional/Coun- try-specific Sub- Organiza-	Foun- dation	Members ¹⁸⁰	Related Gov. Organization <i>Role</i>
	tion(s)			
A. General				
E-Parliament (Climate Parliament) Climate change, spread of democracy	Global	2003	Democratically -elected national and regional P	Gov. (originally also World Bank) Sponsors, et al.
Parliamentarians for Global Action (PGA) Promotion of peace, democracy, the rule of law, human rights, sustainable development and population issues	Global National (regional) Groups	978 / 979	National and regional elected P	United Nations (UN) Issue provider, partner Intl. org. and gov. Sponsors, et al.
B. Democracy				
Community of Democracies Parliamentary Forum	Global	2010	Current and former P from CoD parliaments, EP and other parliaments with spirit of democracy defender	Community of Democracies (CoD) Issue provider, sponsor, partner
C. Development, Populat	ion and Social Ques	tions		
African Parliamentarians' Forum for NEPAD	Europe	2002	Ρ	AU (African Union) NEPAD Program Issue provider
African Parliamentary Poverty Reduction Network (APRN)	Africa (Canadian support)	2003	Parliamentary committees	-
3. Association of European Parliamentarians with Africa (AWEPA) Eradication of poverty, attainment of MDGs through human rights, democracy and development in Africa; technical assistance	Europe (offices in Europe and Africa)	1984	Current and former national and EP P	European Commission, European gov. and UN Main sponsors

Table 2. Issue-Related Inter-Parliamentary Network GRINGOs¹⁷⁹

¹⁷⁹ As of March 2010.

¹⁸⁰ P = parliamentarians; IPI = international parliamentary institutions.

Calification		2007		1
Coalition of African Parliamentarians	Africa (Canadian	2006	Р	-
Against HIV and AIDS	support)			
(CAPAH)				
Global Committee of	Global	1982	Р	United Nations
Parliamentarians on	Inter-American	1982	Р	Population Fund
Population and	Parliamentary			(UNFPA)
Development	Group on			lssue provider,
(GCPPD)	Population and			conference
Population and	Development			convener,
development, sexual and	(IAPG)	100/		sponsor
reproductive health	Forum of	1994	National	
	African and Arab		parliamentary	
	Parliamentarians		groups / committees of	
	on Population		parliamentarian	
	and		s	
	Development		3	
	(FAAPPD)			
	European	2000	Formal /	
	Parliamentary		informal	
	Forum on		groups of	
	Population and		national and EP	
	Development		Р	
	(EPF)			
	Asian Forum of	1981	?	
	Parliamentarians			
	on Population			
	and			
	Development (AFPPD)			
	National	?	?	
	chapters	·	•	
Global Parliamentarians	Global (regional	1987	Active and	United Nations
on Habitat (Global	councils for the		former P (as	Human
Group)	African, the		individuals or	Settlements
Human settlements,	American, the		groups) +	Programme
urban development,	Asian, the		others	(UN-HABITAT)
housing	European, and			lssue provider,
	the Oceanic			supporter and
	Continent)	100/		þartner
	a) Global	1996		
	Parliamentarians on Habitat from			
	the European			
	Continent			
International Medical	Global	1994	P (medical	Asian Forum of
Parliamentarians	IMPO African		professionals),	Parliamentarian
Organization (IMPO)	Region		others, e.g.,	s on Population
Health and medical care	IMPO Eastern	1	former P	and .
	Mediterranean			Development
	Region			(AFPPD)
	IMPO European			Administration
1	Region			World Health
	IMPO Region			Organization
	IMPO Region for the Americas			(WHO), intl.
	IMPO Region for the Americas IMPO Region			(WHO), intl. org.
	IMPO Region for the Americas IMPO Region for the Western			(WHO), intl. org. Issue provider;
	IMPO Region for the Americas IMPO Region for the Western Pacific			(WHO), intl. org.
	IMPO Region for the Americas IMPO Region for the Western			(WHO), intl. org. Issue provider;

Inter-Parliamentarians for Social Services (IPSS)	Global	2003	Р	-
Promotion of Social				
Services				
D. Discrimination				
Interparliamentary Coalition for Combating	Global	2009	Ρ	-
Antisemitism (ICCA)	Canadian Parliamentary Coalition to Combat Antisemitism (CPCCA)	2009		
Inter-Parliamentary Council against Antisemitism (defunct?) Fight against Anti- Semitism, inter- confessional cooperation	Global	1985	National P	-
E. Economy and Trade	-	1000		
EUREKA Inter- Parliamentary Conferences (IPCs) ¹⁸¹ Public awareness of EUREKA (market- oriented, industrial R&D in Europe; support to businesses, research centres and universities); recommendations to ministers	Europe	1990	I-5 national P from member countries and I-5 EP P, mostly from research committees	EUREKA initiative Issue provider, reference point for conference organization, addressee of consultative oversight
Global Organization of	Global	2000	P + former P +	WB
Parliamentarians Against Corruption (GOPAC) Good governance and combating corruption	African Parliamentarians Network Against Corruption (APNAC)	1999	elected P who have been denied admission to office	Initiator WB, gov. Sponsors, et al.
	Arab Region Parliamentarians Against Corruption (ARPAC)	2004		
	Caribbean Parliamentarians Against Corruption (CaribPAC)	?		

¹⁸¹ EUREKA is not an international organization founded by treaty, but rather an intergovernmental initiative working on a private law basis. Therefore, the IPCs, which customarily function as a main body of the initiative, likewise can only be classified as some sort of GRINGO. Even though historically developed independently from the mother initiative, they do also not show enough evidence (e.g., rules of procedure, budget authority, own organs, etc.) for being classified as an independent regional parliamentary organization (or specialized agency).

Central Asian Parliamentarians Against Corruption (CAPAC)	?	
European Parliamentarians Against Corruption	2005	
Latin American Parliamentarians Against Corruption (LAPAC)	2002	
Newly Independent States Parliamentarians Against Corruption (NISPAC)	2003	
North American Parliamentarians Against Corruption (NAPAC)	?	
North East Asian Parliamentarians Against Corruption (NEAPAC)	2003	
South Asian Parliamentarians Against Corruption (SAPAC)	?	
South Caucasus Parliamentarians' Network Against Corruption (CauPAC)	2009	
South East Asian Parliamentarians Against Corruption (SEAPAC)	2005	
South Pacific Parliamentarians Against Corruption (AustralAsia) (inactive)	?	
National chapters	?	

	-			-
International	Global (P from	2000	National P +	World Trade
Parliamentarians'	food importing		national	Organization
Association for	countries)		parliaments	(WTO)
Agriculture and	,			İssue provider
Fisheries (IPAAF)				
Liberalization effects on				
agriculture, forestry and				
fishery and resulting				
environmental and food-				
related problems				
International	Global	2001	P	World Social
Parliamentary Network	Regional			Forum (WSF)
(IPN)	sections			Initiator of
Against neoliberal effects				World
of globalization				Parliamentary
				Fora during
				WSF
Parliamentary Network	Global	2000	P from WB	WB
on the World Bank	PNoWB India	2001	members	Initiator; host of
(PNoWB)	Chapter		states	Secretariat, co-
Policy dialogue and	PNoWB East	2003		organizer of
exchange of views	Africa (EA)			events
between legislators and	Chapter			UN bodies,
the World Bank;	PNoWB Japan	2004		IMF, WB, gov.
international	Chapter			Sponsors, et al.
development and poverty	PNoWB Middle	2004		
eradication	East and North			
	Africa (MENA)			
	Chapter (Arab			
	Region)			
	PNoWB West	2006		
	Africa Chapter	2000		
	PNoWB Balkans	2006		
	Chapter	2000		
	PNoWB	2007		
	Southern Africa	2007		
	Chapter			
	PNoWB Donor	2005		
	Country	2005		
	Chapter			
E Education Science -		I	l	
F. Education, Science and		0.000	D - 15'	
UNESCO communities	IPU Network of	2003	P + IPI	UNESCO
Link between national	Parliamentary			lssue provider,
parliaments and	Focal Points for			conference
National Commissions	questions			convener
for UNESCO, Education,	relating to			IPU
science, culture	UNESCO			Convener of
	Global			Focal Points
	Inter-	2002	National P and	UNESCO
	Parliamentary		P of Latin	Convener and
	Conference of		American	issue provider
	the Latin		Parliament	Parlatino
	American and			Convener
	Caribbean			
	Region			
	Forum of	2002	Р	UNESCO
	African			Convener and
	Parliamentarians			issue provider
	for Education			Intl. org., gov.
	(FAPED)			Sponsors, et al.
	Forum of Arab	2007	Р	UNESCO

	Parliamentarians			Convener and
	for Education			issue provider
	(FARPED)			Arab and intl.
				org.
				Partners
	Forum of Asia	2008	Р	UNESCO
	Pacific			Convener and
	Parliamentarians			issue provider
	for Education			
	(FASPPED)			
International	Global	2002	National P	-
Parliamentarians'				
Association for				
Information				
Technology (IPAIT)				
Promotion of multilateral				
cooperation in the field				
of Information and				
Communication				
Technologies				
World Scout	Global	1991	National P	World
	Arab Scout		I NACIONAL F	
Parliamentary Union		1993		Organization of the Scout
(WSPU)	Parliamentary			
Strengthening of	Union			Movement
National Scout	National Scout	?	National,	Partner
Organisations and World	Parliamentary		sometimes +	
Scouting as an	Associations		regional P	
educational method for	(NSPAs)			
the Youth, for peace,				
environment and health				
G. Environment and Ener	gy			
Asia-Pacific	Asia-Pacific	1993	National and	-
Parliamentarians'	7 tola 1 delite		state P	
Conference on			State	
Environment and				
Development				
(APPCED)				
Global Legislators	GLOBE	1989	National P	G8 + 5 /
Organization for a	International	1707	from G8	International
Balanced Environment	International			Financial
			countries and	
(GLOBE)			the + 5	
Climate and energy			countries of	(IFIs) / intl.
			I KRAZIL (bina	
security, land use change			Brazil, China,	environmental
and ecosystems,			India, Mexico	org.
and ecosystems, economic and population			India, Mexico and South	org. Issue providers;
and ecosystems,			India, Mexico	org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population			India, Mexico and South Africa	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees)
and ecosystems, economic and population	GLOBE Europe	1994	India, Mexico and South	org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population	GLOBE	1994 1992	India, Mexico and South Africa	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org.
and ecosystems, economic and population	GLOBE European Union	1992	India, Mexico and South Africa	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers;
and ecosystems, economic and population	GLOBE		India, Mexico and South Africa	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population	GLOBE European Union	1992	India, Mexico and South Africa	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers;
and ecosystems, economic and population	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa	1992 ?	India, Mexico and South Africa	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan	1992 ? 1990	India, Mexico and South Africa	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National	1992 ? 1990 Variou	India, Mexico and South Africa	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population growth	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National Chapters	1992 ? 1990 Variou s	India, Mexico and South Africa P	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population growth Latin-American Inter-	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National	1992 ? 1990 Variou	India, Mexico and South Africa P P Parliaments of	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population growth Latin-American Inter- Parliamentary	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National Chapters	1992 ? 1990 Variou s	India, Mexico and South Africa P P Parliaments of Latin America	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population growth Latin-American Inter- Parliamentary Commission on the	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National Chapters	1992 ? 1990 Variou s	India, Mexico and South Africa P P Parliaments of Latin America and the	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population growth Latin-American Inter- Parliamentary Commission on the Environment	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National Chapters	1992 ? 1990 Variou s	India, Mexico and South Africa P P Parliaments of Latin America	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population growth Latin-American Inter- Parliamentary Commission on the Environment (Comisión	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National Chapters	1992 ? 1990 Variou s	India, Mexico and South Africa P P Parliaments of Latin America and the	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population growth Latin-American Inter- Parliamentary Commission on the Environment (Comisión Latinoamericana	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National Chapters	1992 ? 1990 Variou s	India, Mexico and South Africa P P Parliaments of Latin America and the	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying
and ecosystems, economic and population growth Latin-American Inter- Parliamentary Commission on the Environment (Comisión	GLOBE European Union GLOBE Africa GLOBE Japan GLOBE National Chapters	1992 ? 1990 Variou s	India, Mexico and South Africa P P Parliaments of Latin America and the	org. Issue providers; Iobbying addressees) Environmental org. Issue providers; Iobbying

CLIMA) ¹⁸² Harmonization of legislation for the protection of the environment and of biodiversity and for sustainable development Parliamentary Network	Global	2003	Р	UNCCD
on the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (PNoUNCCD) Increasing parliamentary involvement and efficiency in the fields of combating desertification, soil erosion and land degradation				Issue provider; conference convener IPU Supporter
Renewable Energy Organizations Renewable Energy	World Renewable Energy Parliamentary Network (WREPN)	2005	Ρ	World Council for Renewable Energy (WCRE) <i>Convener</i>
	The European Forum for Renewable Energy Sources (EUFORES)	1995	National + regional P	-
H. Peace, Disarmament,	Trade in Arms and A	Aerospace		
AMANI Forum - The Great Lakes Parliamentary Forum	Africa, Great Lakes Region National	1998 Variou	National P	-
on Peace Elimination of armed conflict, promotion of peace and democratic governance	chapters EALA chapter	s 2007		
European Interparliamentary Space Conference (EISC) European and national space activities	Europe National chapters	1999 Vari- ous	National P	European Space Agency (ESA) Issue provider
Parliamentary Association for Euro- Arab Cooperation (PAEAC) Promotion of peace in the Middle East; strengthening of political, economic and cultural co-operation between Europe and the Arab world	Europe	1974	National P from members of the Council of Europe and EP P	Arab Inter- Parliamentary Union, European gov., EU Cooperating and exchange partners
Parliamentary Forum on Small Arms and Light Weapons Trade in small arms and light weapons, armed violence	Latin America, Europe, Africa	1999	Ρ	UN bodies Initiator; sponsors, et al. Gov. Sponsors, et al.

¹⁸² The Commission is insufficiently institutionalised to qualify for more than GRINGO status.

Parliamentarians for Nuclear Non-Prolifer- ation and Disarmament (PNND) Prevention of nuclear proliferation and achievement of nuclear disarmament	Global	2001	National, but also state and regional P	-
Parliamentarians Network for Conflict Prevention and Human Security	Global	2008	National, regional current or former P	-
World Women Parliamentarians for Peace (WWPP) (defunct?)	Global Organization of Women Parliamentarians from Muslim Countries (OWPMC)	1985 ?	Women P	-

			54
Organization	Foundation (of predecessor)	Membership	Related Gov. Organization, foundation (predecessor) Status of PO
A. International or supraregional			
Arab Inter-Parliamentary Union (AIPU)	1974	D by Arab parliaments	League of Arab States, 1945 Contact through Agreement of Cooperation and Coordination
Association of Senates, Shoora and Equivalent Councils in Africa and the Arab World (ASSECAA) ¹⁸⁵	2002 (2001 Association of Senates and Second Chambers of Africa and the Arabic World)	D by African and Arab senates and second chambers	-
Euro-Latin American Parliamentary Assembly (EuroLat)	2006 (biennial EU- LA Inter- parliamentary Conferences since 1974)	D by the EP, Parlatino, Parlandino, Parlacen, Parlasur, and the Mexico and Chile Joint Parliamentary Committees	Bi-regional European Union-Latin America and Caribbean (EU- LAC) Strategic Partnership, ¹⁸⁶ 1999 <i>Cooperation partner</i>
Forum of Portuguese Speaking Parliaments (FPLP)	1998	D by Portuguese speaking parliaments	Community of Portuguese Language Countries (CPLP), 1996 Cooperating org.
Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU)	1889	D	United Nations Organization (UNO), 1945 Observer General Assembly
Parliamentary Assembly of the Mediterranean (PAM) ¹⁸⁷	2006 (Inter- Parliamentary Conferences on Security and Cooperation in the Mediterranea n (CSCM) in	D by parliaments of countries of the Mediterranea n basin + some others	-

Table 3. International or regional parliamentary organizations¹⁸³

¹⁸³ As of March 2010.

 $^{^{184}}$ DE = directly elected; IE = indirectly elected; D = delegated by national parliaments.

¹⁸⁵ See also the Senates of the World, p. 43.

¹⁸⁶ The EU-LAC Strategic Partnership is not an international organization. Therefore, EuroLat is not classified as a specialized agency or an organ.

¹⁸⁷ The PAM is in direct competition with the EMPA.

	1992, 1995, 2000, and 2005 under IPU auspices)		
Parliamentary Union of the Organisation of the Islamic Conference Member States (PUIC)	1999	D by parliaments of OIC members states	Organisation of the Islamic Conference (OIC), 1969 Observer
B. African			
African Parliamentary Union (APU)	1999 (1976 Union of African Parliaments)	D by national groups in African parliaments	African Union (AU), 2002 (African Economic Community (AEC), 1991; Organization of African Unity (OAU), 1963) <i>Observer</i>
C. American			
Foro de Presidentes de Poderes Legislativos de Centroamérica (FOPREL) = Forum of the Presidents of the Legislative Powers of Central America ¹⁸⁸	1994	Ex officio Presidents of national parliaments ¹⁸⁹	-
Parliamentary Confederation of the Americas (COPA)	2001 (2000 Parliamentary Conference of the Americas)	D by unitary, federal, federated and associated states, the regional parliaments and the interparliame ntary organizations of the Americas	Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA), not yet finalized
Parlamento Amazónico = Amazonian Parliament	1989	D by parliaments of the Amazonian region	Amazon Cooperation Treaty Organization (ACTO), 1995 (Amazon Cooperation Treaty, 1978) (-)
Parlamento Latinoamericano (Parlatino) = Latin American Parliament	1964	D by demo- cratic national parliaments of Latin America of those states which have ratified Treaty of Institutionaliz ation of 1987 (DE planned)	- (Comunidad Latinoamericana de Naciones (CLAN), aspired by Parlatino since 1991)

¹⁸⁸ FOPREL is in direct competition to Parlacen.

¹⁸⁹ The members of the Consultative Council are nominated by the Presidents of national parliaments; the members of the FOPREL commissions are delegated by the commissions in national parliaments or, in case no commission exists, are nominated by the Presidents of national parliaments.

D. Asian/Pacific			
ASEAN Inter-Parliamentary Assembly (AIPA)	2007 (1977 ASEAN Inter- Parliamentary Organization (AIPO))	D by national parliaments of the ASEAN member countries	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN), 1967 Associated Entity
Asian Forum of Parliamentarians on Population and Development (AFPPD)	1981	D by national committees of Parliamentari ans on Population and Development or by parliamentary committees dealing with population and development issues	United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), 1967 Addressee for sponsoring Gov., nat. development agencies Addressee for sponsoring
Asian-Pacific Parliamentarians' Union	1965 (before 1980 Asian Parliamentaria ns' Union (APU))	D by National Groups in parliaments	-
Asian Parliamentary Assembly (APA)	2006 (1999 Association of Asian Parliaments for Peace (AAPP))	D by Asian parliaments	-
Association of Pacific Island Legislatures (APIL)	1981	D by (national and sub-national) legislative assemblies of the Pacific region	-
Forum of Asia Pacific Parliamentarians for Education (FASPPED)	2008	D by national parliamentary committees on education	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), 1945 Addressee for provision of seat and secretariat services
South Caucasus Parliamentary Initiative (SCPI)	2003	D by national parliaments of Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia	- European gov. Addressee for sponsoring

Organization	Foundation (different names or predecessor)	Membership ¹⁹¹	Related Gov. Organization, foundation (predecessor) <i>Status of SA</i>				
A. International or supraregional							
Assemblée parlementaire de la Francophonie (APF) = Francophone Parliamentary Assembly	1967 (named Association internationale des parlementaires de langue française (AIPLF) until 1989, named Assemblée internationale des parlementaires de langue française until 1998)	D by parliaments of totally or partially French speaking states, provinces, etc., or communities	Organisation internationale de la Francophonie (OIF), 1998 (Agence de coopération culturelle et technique, 1970) Institution of the Francophonie, consultative assembly				
NATO Parliamentary Assembly (NATO PA)	1955 (named Atlantic Assembly until 1966 and North Atlantic Assembly until 1998)	D by national parliaments of Atlantic Alliance member countries	NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization), 1949 Cooperating org.; addressee for reports and sponsoring by gov.				
Parliamentary Assembly of the Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (PABSEC)	1993	D by national parliaments of BSEC member countries	Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC), 1992 BSEC related body, cooperating and consultative org.				
B. African							
IGAD Inter- Parliamentary Union (IPU-IGAD)	2007	D by national parliaments of IGAD member states (?)	Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD), 1996 (Intergovernmental Authority on Drought and Development (IGADD), 1986) Consultative body (?)				
SADC Parliamentary Forum (SADC PF)	1993 (1996 officially launched)	D by national parliaments of SADC member countries	Southern African Development Community (SADC), 1993 (Southern African Development Coordination Conference (SADCC), 1980) Autonomous institution of SADC, observer at SADC Summits				

¹⁹⁰ As of March 2010.

¹⁹¹ DE = directly elected; IE = indirectly elected; D = delegated by national parliaments.

¹⁹² In accordance with Art. 8 (3 b) of its constitution, the Forum is planned to become a "Parliamentary structure," whereas its Plenary Assembly would "be the legislative body in full consultation with SADC authorities and without infringing on the sovereignty of SADC national Parliaments' legislative functions."

C. American			
Assembly of Caribbean Community Parliamentarians (ACCP)	1996	D by national parliaments of CARICOM member countries	Caribbean Community and Common Market (CARICOM), 1973 (Caribbean Free Trade Association (CARIFTA), 1965) Institution of the Community, deliberative and consultative body
Parlamento Centroamericano (Parlacen) = Central American Parliament ¹⁹³	1991	DE (+ ex officio Presidents + 1 Vice- President per country as elected by parliament)	Sistema de la Integración Centroamericana (SICA) = Central American Integration System, 1991 (Organization of Central American States (ODECA), 1962) Oversight (elects, receives annual reports) and advisory body
D. European			,
Baltic Assembly (BA)	1991	D by national parliaments from Baltic states	Baltic Council of Ministers (BCM), 1994 Cooperating body
Baltic Sea Parliamentary Conference (BSPC)	1991 (named Parliamentary Baltic Sea Conference until 1999)	D by national or regional (= provincial!) parliaments from 11 CBSS members + some other regional parliamentary assemblies	Council of the Baltic Sea States (CBSS), 1992 Strategic Partner
Conference of Parliamentarians of the Arctic Region (CPAR)	1993	D by national parliaments of the Arctic states and the European Parliament; Permanent Participants are representatives of Indigenous peoples	Arctic Council, 1996 Observer
Conseil interparlementaire consultatif de Benelux (Benelux Parliament) = Benelux Consultative Interparliamentary Council	1955 (1946 Benelux IPU, founded within the IPU)	D by national parliaments of Benelux states	Benelux, 1948 (Benelux Customs Union, 1948) (Benelux Economic Union, 1960) Institution
Euro-Mediterranean Parliamentary	2004 (1998 Euro-	D by EU national parliaments, the EP,	Union for the Mediterranean,

¹⁹³ See the Annex, note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

Assembly (EMPA) ¹⁹⁴	Mediterranean Parliamentary Forum)	the European Mediterranean partner countries, the ten founding	2008 ¹⁹⁵ (Euro- Mediterranean Partnership
		Mediterranean partners, and Mauritania	(Barcelona Process), 1995) Consultative body
Parliamentary Cooperation in South-Eastern Europe (SEE) ¹⁹⁶	2008	?	South-East European Cooperation Process (SEECP), 2008 ¹⁹⁷ (Stability Pact for South Eastern Europe, 1999) Priority Area for Cooperation, overarching theme
Western Balkans Conference of the Committees on European Integra- tion/Affairs of the States participating in the Stabilisation and Association Process (COSAP)	2005	D by European affairs committees of national parliaments of SEE	
Cetinje (formerly Balkans) Parliamentary Forum	2004	D by parliaments of SEE or the respective parliamentary bodies	
Parliamentary dimension of the Adriatic-Ionian Initiative (AII)	2001	D (Speakers) by All parliaments	Adriatic-Ionian Initiative (AII), 2000

¹⁹⁴ See also the Annex, note Errore: sorgente del riferimento non trovata.

¹⁹⁵ It is controversial whether the new Union for the Mediterranean, now encompassing all EU members states on the European side, really is an international organization since it is not founded by an international treaty. Yet, it has different organs and a budget for the Secretariat, and at least the new Secretariat in Barcelona has separate legal capacity. This capacity seems to comprise international personality since a Headquarters Agreement has been concluded between the Secretariat and the host country, which also includes diplomatic privileges and immunities. We count it here among international organizations.

¹⁹⁶ There is a Regional Secretariat for Parliamentary Cooperation in SEE, which has functional but not legal status thus far.

¹⁹⁷ It is controversial whether the SEECP qualifies as an international organization since it is not founded by an international treaty. Yet, it has different organs and a budget for the Secretariat, the Regional Cooperation Council (RCC). Its predecessor, the Stability Pact for South Eastern Europe, was not an international organization since it lacked not only a treaty base, but also independent financial resources and implementing structures. Yet, we count the SEECP here among international organizations.

¹⁹⁸ Similarly, the All is not founded by an international treaty, but disposes of different organs. Since its parliamentary dimension is hosted by the Cetinje Parliamentary Forum, it is listed here.

Organ	Quality of organ 200	Foundation (of predecessor)	Mem- ber- ship ²⁰¹	Related Gov. Organization, foundation (predecessor)
A. Supraregional				
ACP-EU Joint Parliamentary Assembly (JPA)	M (institu tion)	2003 (1985 Joint Assembly of the ACP-EEC (European Economic Community) Convention of Lomé I and II) (1976 Joint Committee and Consultative Assembly of the ACP-EEC Convention of Lomé III and IV)	D by ACP parliam ents (or IE) and EP	Cotonou Agreement (Partnership Agreement ACP-EC (African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States- European Communities)), ²⁰² 2003 (fourth Lomé Convention 1990-1999) (third Lomé Convention 1985/1986-1990) (second Lomé Convention 1981-1985) (first Lomé Convention 1976-1980) (second Yaoundé Convention 1971-1975) (first Yaoundé Convention 1964-1969)
ACP Consultative Assembly	S (adviso ry body)	2005 (1992 ACP members of the ACP-EEC Joint Assembly)	D by ACP parliam ents	African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States (ACP (Group)), 1975
Arab Transitional Parliament (ATP) ²⁰³	S	2005	D	League of Arab States, 1945
GUAM (Georgia, Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Moldova) Parliamentary Assembly (GUAM PA)	S	2004	D	GUAM Organization for Democracy and Economic Development, 1997
Inter-Parliamentary Assembly of Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States (IPA CIS)	S (interst ate body)	1992	D	Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), 1991
Parliamentary Assembly of the Organization of the Collective Security Treaty (PA OCST)	Part of S	2006 (1999 as IPA CIS in the Collective Security Treaty (CST) format)	D	Organization of the Collective Security Treaty (OCST), 2002

Table 5. Parliamentary organs of international or regional organizations¹⁹⁹

¹⁹⁹ As of March 2010.

 200 M = main organ; S = subsidiary organ

 $^{^{201}}$ DE = directly elected; IE = indirectly elected; D = delegated by national parliaments.

²⁰² Whether this development cooperation fulfils the requirements for an international organization remains controversial. Yet, it consists of states and the Agreement is an international treaty that sets up organs and ACP-EU co-secretariats. Therefore, we treat it as an international organization here. Otherwise, the JPA would be an organ of the EU and the ACP Group.

²⁰³ It is planned to set up a directly elected Arab Parliament. Within five years, the ATP is meant to draft the arrangements for the permanent Arab Parliament.

Interparliamentary Assembly of the Eurasian Economic Community (IPA EurAsEC) OSCE Parliamentary Assembly (OSCE PA)	S (parlia- men- tary coope- ration body) S (institu tion)	2000 (1996 Interparliamen tary Committee) 1991 (since 1973 parliamentary	D	Eurasian Economic Community (EurAsEC), 2000 Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), 1995
	uony	meetings under IPU auspices ²⁰⁴)		(Conference for Security and Cooperation in Europe (CSCE), 1973)
Parliamentary Assembly of the Russia-Belarus Union State ²⁰⁵	M (repres entativ e body)	1997 (1996 Parliamentary Assembly of the Commonweal th of Russia and Belarus)	D	Union State (of Russia and Belarus), 1996
Parliamentary Assembly of the Community of Portuguese Language Countries (PA CPLP)	S	2007	D	Community of Portuguese Language Countries (CPLP), 1996
B. African				
Conseil Consultatif de l'Union du Maghreb Arabe = Consultative Council of the Arab Maghreb Union	M	1989 (1984 Parliamentary Assembly)	D	Union du Maghreb Arabe (UMA) = Arab Maghreb Union (AMU), 1989
Comité Interparlementaire de l'Union Economique et Monétaire Ouest Africaine = UEMOA Interparliamentary Committee ²⁰⁶	M (con- trol organ)	1998	D	Union Economique et Monétaire Ouest Africaine (UEMOA) = West African Economic and Monetary Union, 1994 (Communauté Economique de l'Afrique de l'Ouest (CEAO), 1973) + (Union Monétaire Ouest Africaine (UMOA), 1963)
CEMAC Parlement Communautaire = CEMAC Community Parliament ²⁰⁷	S (institu tion)	2010 (1999 Commission Interparlemen taire de la	D	Communauté Economique et Monétaire d'Afrique Centrale (CEMAC) = Economic and Monetary

²⁰⁴ See Ghebali (1993/1992).

²⁰⁵ A bicameral Union Parliament, comprising a directly elected House of Representatives and a House of the Union whose members are selected by the respected upper legislative houses is foreseen in the Treaty of the Creation of a Union State of 1999, but has not been put into effect thus far.

²⁰⁶ A UEMOA Parliament with full powers of democratic control and with participation in decisionmaking is planned. The Interparliamentary Committee has already adopted a draft treaty for the creation of a regional parliament, which was accepted by the Heads of State and Government in 2003. In a first step, parliamentarians shall be delegated by national parliaments, later directly elected. It will have information and hearing rights, the right to put questions and must consent in some cases, can set up investigative committees and can reprimand the Commission. Yet, it can be dissolved by the Conference of Heads of State and Government. It will co-decide on the UEMOA budget and its members will have parliamentary and diplomatic immunities. It will be financially autonomous.

		Communauté Economique et Monétaire d'Afrique Centrale = CEMAC Interparliamen tary Commission)		Community of Central Africa, 1999 (Union Douanière et Economique de l'Afrique Centrale (UDEAC), 1964)
East African Legislative Assembly of the East African Community (EALA)	Μ	2001 (1967-1977)	IE ²⁰⁸	East African Community (EAC), 2001 (East African Co- operation, 1993-2000) (East African Community, 1967-1977) (East African Common Services Organisation, 1961-1967) (East African High Commission, 1948-1961) (Customs Union, 1917)
Network of Parliamentarians of the Economic Community of Central African States (REPAC) ²⁰⁹	?	2002	D	Economic Community of Central African States (ECCAS), 1983
Pan-African Parliament (PAP) ²¹⁰	Μ	2004	D	African Union (AU), 2002 (African Economic Community (AEC), 1991) (Organization of African Unity (OAU), 1963)
Parliament of the Economic Community of West African States (Community Parliament) ²¹¹	M (institu tion)	2000	D	Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), 1975
C. American				
Parlamento Andino (Parlandino) = Andean Parliament	M (deli- bera- tive organ)	1979	DE (gradu- ally)	Comunidad Andino (CAN) = Andean Community, 1996 (Pacto (Grupo) Andino = Andean Pact (Group), 1969)
Parlamento del MERCOSUR (Parlasur) = MERCOSUR Parliament	Μ	2006 (1992 Comisión Parlamentaria Conjunta del Mercado Común del	DE from 2011, until 2011 D	Mercado Común del Sur (MERCOSUR), 1991 = Common Market of the South

²⁰⁷ The new Community Parliament was installed on 15 April 2010. In a first step, national parliaments have delegated 5 parliamentarians each as members. After five years, members are supposed to be directly elected.

²⁰⁹ A Parliament of ECCAS is planned.

 $^{^{208}}$ By national parliaments, not from among its members (+ nonvoting governmental and EAC officials as *ex officio* members).

²¹⁰ The Pan-African Parliament is meant to develop into a directly elected parliament with full legislative powers.

²¹¹ A West African Parliament, directly elected for five years and with legislative functions, is planned.

-			1	
		Sur (CPC) = oint		
		Parliamentary		
		Commission		
		of the		
		Common		
		Market of the		
		South)		
D. European		30001)		
	C	1077	D (l	E E E
Committee of	S (advice	1977	D (by	European Free Trade
Members of	(adviso	(1963	EFTA	Association (EFTA), 1960
Parliament of the	ry	informal	parliam	
EFTA Countries	body)	meetings)	ents)	
(CMP)		1004		
Committee of	S	1994	D (by EFTA	European Free Trade
Members of	(consul			Association (EFTA), 1960
Parliament of the	tative		parliam	
EFTA States Party to	body)		ents of	
the EEA (MPS)			states	
			party to	
			EEA)	
EEA Joint	М	1994	D (by	European Economic Area
Parliamentary		(1981 joint	EFTA	(EEA), 1994
Committee (EEA JPC)		meetings of	parliam	
		CMP and EP)	ents of	
			states	
			party to	
			EEA and	
			EP)	
Conférence des	S	1989	D ²¹²	European Union (EU),
organes spécialisés				1993
dans les affaires				(European Communities
communautaires et				(EC), 1967)
européennes des				
Parlements de l'Union				
europénne(COSAC)				
= Conference of				
Community and				
European Affairs				
Committees of				
Parliaments of the				
European Union				
European Parliament	М	1967 EP (of	DE	European Union (EU),
(EP) (of the European		the EC, since	(until	1993
Union)		1993 partly of	1979 D)	(European Communities
		the EU) ²¹³		(EC), 1967)

 $^{^{\}rm 212}$ By Community and European Affairs Committee(s) of national parliaments of EU member states and by the EP.

²¹³ Predecessors: 1962: European Parliament of the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC), the EEC and of the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom)), 1958: European Parliamentary Assembly of the ECSC, the EEC and of Euratom, 1952: Common Assembly of the ECSC.

European Security and Defence Assembly / Assembly of WEU	М	1954-2011	D	Western European Union (WEU), 1954, will be closed by June 2011 since its tasks will be taken over by the EU; see Lisbon Treaty
Interparliamentary Committee on the Dutch Language Union	М	1980	D	Dutch Language Union (NTU), 1980
Network of Parliamentary Committees for Equal Opportunities for Women and Men in the European Union (NCEO) / Conference of Parliamentary Committees for Equal Opportunities for Women and Men in the European Union Member States and in the European Parliament (CCEO)	S ²¹⁴	1997	D ²¹⁵	European Union (EU), 1993 (European Communities (EC), 1967)
Nordic Council	М	1952	D	Nordic Cooperation, 1971
Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE)	М	1949	D	Council of Europe, 1949
PACE enlarged debate on the Organisation for Economic Co- operation and Development	-	1962	-	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 1961
Parliamentary Dimension of the Central European Initiative	М	1990	D	Central European Initiative (CEI), 1989 ²¹⁶

²¹⁴ It is doubtful whether the NCEO qualifies as a subsidiary organ of the EU. Yet, it is partly financed through EU funds and thus, in some way, is too closely connected to the EU to qualify as a GRINGO. On the other hand, it is not autonomous enough to qualify as a specialized agency.

²¹⁵ By committees responsible for the policy of equal opportunities of women and of men in national parliaments of EU member states and candidate countries, and in EP.

²¹⁶ It is controversial whether the Central European Initiative really is an international organization since it is not founded by an international treaty. Yet, it has different organs and has concluded Memoranda of Understanding. We count it here among international organizations.

Abbreviations

AAPP	Association of Asian Parliaments for Peace
ACCP	Assembly of Caribbean Community Parliamentarians
ACP (Group)	African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States
ACP-EU JPA	ACP-EU Joint Parliamentary Assembly
ACPSP	Africa-Canada Parliamentary Strengthening Program
ACTO	Amazon Cooperation Treaty Organization
AEC	African Economic Community
AFPPD	Asian Forum of Parliamentarians on Population and Development
All	Adriatic-Ionian Initiative
AIPA	ASEAN Inter-Parliamentary Assembly
AIPLF	Association (Assemblée) internationale des parlementaires de langue française
AIPO	•
AIPU	ASEAN Inter-Parliamentary Organization
AMU	Arab Inter-Parliamentary Union
APA	Arab Maghreb Union
	Asian Parliamentary Assembly
APEC	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation
APF	Francophone Parliamentary Assembly (Assemblée parlementaire de la
APIL	Francophonie)
APNAC	Association of Pacific Island Legislatures African Parliamentarians Network Against Corruption
APPCED	Asia-Pacific Parliamentarians' Conference on Environment and
AFFCED	Development
APPF	Asia Pacific Parliamentary Forum
APPU	Asian-Pacific Parliamentarians' Union
APRN	African Parliamentary Poverty Reduction Network
APU	African Parliamentary Union
APU	Asian Parliamentarians' Union
ARPAC	Arab Region Parliamentarians Against Corruption
ASEAN	Association of South East Asian Nations
ASEF	Asia Europe Foundation
ASEM	Asia-Europe Meeting
ASEP	Asia-Europe Parliamentary Partnership Meeting
ASSECAA	Association of Senates, Shoora and Equivalent Councils in Africa and
AJJECAA	the Arab World
ATP	Arab Transitional Parliament
AU	African Union
AWEPA	Association of European Parliamentarians with Africa
BA	Baltic Assembly
BCM	Baltic Council of Ministers
BEAR	Barents Euro-Arctic Region
BIIPB	British-Irish Inter-Parliamentary Body
BSEC	Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation
BSPC	Baltic Sea Parliamentary Conference
CALRE	Conference of European Regional Legislative Assemblies
CAN	Andean Community (Comunidad Andino)

CAPAC CAPAH CaribPAC CARICOM CARIFTA CauPAC CBSS CCEO	Central Asian Parliamentarians Against Corruption Coalition of African Parliamentarians Against HIV and AIDS Caribbean Parliamentarians Against Corruption Caribbean Community and Common Market Caribbean Free Trade Association South Caucasus Parliamentarians' Network Against Corruption Council of the Baltic Sea States Conference of Parliamentary Committees for Equal Opportunities for Women and Men in the European Union Member States and in the European Parliament
CEAO CEI	Communauté Economique de l'Afrique de l'Ouest Central European Initiative
CEMAC	Economic and Monetary Community of Central Africa (Communauté Economique et Monétaire d'Afrique Centrale)
CIS	Commonwealth of Independent States
CLAN CLIMA	Comunidad Latinoamericana de Naciones
CLIMA	Latin-American Inter-Parliamentary Commission on the Environment (Comisión Latinoamericana Interparlamentaria de Medio Ambiente)
CMP	Committee of Members of Parliament of the EFTA Countries
CoE	Council of Europe
COPA	Parliamentary Confederation of the Americas
COSAC	Conference of Community and European Affairs Committees of Parliaments of the European Union (Conférence des organes spécialisés dans les affaires communautaires et européennes des Parlements de l'Union europénne)
COSAP	Western Balkans Conference of the Committees on European Integration/Affairs of the States participating in the Stabilisation and Association Process
CPA	Commonwealth Parliamentary Association
CPAR	Conference of Parliamentarians of the Arctic Region
CPC	Joint Parliamentary Commission of the Common Market of the South (Comisión Parlamentaria Conjunta del Mercado Común del Sur)
CPCCA CPI	Canadian Parliamentary Coalition to Combat Antisemitism Interregional Parliamentary Council (Conseil Parlementaire
	Interrégional)
CPLP CSCE	Community of Portuguese Language Countries
CSCM	Conference for Security and Cooperation in Europe Inter-Parliamentary Conferences on Security and Cooperation in the
CSCIT	Mediterranean
CST	Collective Security Treaty
EAC	East African Community
EALA	East African Legislative Assembly of the East African Community
EC	European Communities
ECCAS ECOSOC	Economic Community of Central African States Economic and Social Council
ECOSOC	Economic and Social Council Economic Community of West African States
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community
EEA JPC	EEA Joint ParliamentaryCommittee

EEA	European Economic Area	
EEC	European Economic Community	
EFTA	European Free Trade Association	
EISC	European Interparliamentary Space Conference	
EMPA	Euro-Mediterranean Parliamentary Assembly	
EP	European Parliament (of the European Union)	
EPF	European Parliamentary Forum on Population and Development	
ESA	European Space Agency	
EU	European Union	
EUFORES	European Forum for Renewable Energy Sources	
EU-LAC	European Union-Latin America and Caribbean	
EurAsEC	Eurasian Economic Community	
Euratom	European Atomic Energy Community	
EuroLat	Euro-Latin American Parliamentary Assembly	
EURO-NEST	EU-Neighbourhood-East	
FAAPPD	Forum of African and Arab Parliamentarians on Population and	
	Development	
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations	
FAPED	Forum of African Parliamentarians for Education	
FARPED	Forum of Arab Parliamentarians for Education	
FASPPED	Asia Pacific Parliamentarians for Education	
FEALAC	Forum for East Asia-Latin America Cooperation	
FIPA	Inter-Parliamentary Forum of the Americas (Foro Interparlamentario	
	de las Américas)	
FOPREL	Forum of the Presidents of the Legislative Powers of Central America	
	(Foro de Presidentes de Poderes Legislativos de Centroamérica)	
FPLP	Forum of Portuguese Speaking Parliaments	
FTAA	Free Trade Area of the Americas	
G8 (+ 5)	Group of Eight (+ Five)	
GCPPD	Global Committee of Parliamentarians on Population and	
	Development	
GLOBE	Global Legislators Organization for a Balanced Environment	
GONGOs	Government Organized NGOs	
GOPAC	Global Organization of Parliamentarians Against Corruption	
GRINGOs	Government Run/Inspired NGOs	
GUAM PA	GUAM Parliamentary Assembly	
GUAM	Georgia, Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Moldova	
IAO	Inter-Parliamentary Assembly on Orthodoxy	
IAPG	Inter-American Parliamentary Group on Population and Development	
ICCA	Interparliamentary Coalition for Combating Antisemitism	
IDEA	International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance	
IFIs	International Financial Institutions	
IGAD	Intergovernmental Authority on Development	
IGADD	Intergovernmental Authority on Drought and Development	
IGO	Inter-governmental organization	
iKNOW Politics International Knowledge Network of Women in Politics		
ILO	International Labour Organization	
IMPO	International Medical Parliamentarians Organization	

IPA CIS	Inter-Parliamentary Assembly of Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States
IPA EurAsEC	Interparliamentary Assembly of the Eurasian Economic Community
IPAAF	International Parliamentarians' Association for Agriculture and
	Fisheries
IPAIT	International Parliamentarians' Association for Information Technology
IPCs	EUREKA Inter-Parliamentary Conferences
IPIs	International Parliamentary Institutions
IPN	International Parliamentary Network
IPSS	Inter-Parliamentarians for Social Services
IPU	Inter-Parliamentary Union
IPU-IGAD	IGAD Inter-Parliamentary Union
IUOTO	
LAPAC	International Union of Official Travel Organisations
	Latin American Parliamentarians Against Corruption
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
MERCOSUR	Middle and East European Common Market of the South (Manada Común del Sur)
	Common Market of the South (Mercado Común del Sur)
MPS	Committee of Members of Parliament of the EFTA States Party to the EEA
NAPAC	North American Parliamentarians Against Corruption
ΝΑΤΟ ΡΑ	NATO Parliamentary Assembly
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NCEO	Network of Parliamentary Committees for Equal Opportunities for
	Women and Men in the European Union
NDI	National Democratic Institute
NEAPAC	North East Asian Parliamentarians Against Corruption
NEPAD	New Partnership for Africa's Development
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NISPAC	Newly Independent States Parliamentarians Against Corruption
NSPAs	National Scout Parliamentary Associations
NTU	Dutch Language Union
OAS	Organization of American States
OAU	Organization of African Unity
ocst	Organization of the Collective Security Treaty
ODECA	Organization of Central American States
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OIC	Organisation of the Islamic Conference
OIF	Organisation internationale de la Francophonie
OSCE PA	OSCE Parliamentary Assembly
OSCE	Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe
OWPMC	Organization of Women Parliamentarians from Muslim Countries
PA CPLP	Parliamentary Assembly of the Community of Portuguese Language
-	Countries
PA OCST	Parliamentary Assembly of the Organization of the Collective Security
54	Treaty
PA	Parliamentary Assembly
PABSEC	Parliamentary Assembly of the Organization of the Black Sea Economic
	Cooperation

PACE	Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe
PAEAC	Parliamentary Association for Euro-Arab Cooperation
PAM	Parliamentary Assembly of the Mediterranean
PAP	Pan-African Parliament
Parlacen	
	Central American Parliament (Parlamento Centroamericano)
Parlandino	Andean Parliament
Parlasur	MERCOSUR Parliament (Parlamento del MERCOSUR)
Parlatino	Latin American Parliament (Parlamento Latinoamericano)
PBEC	Pacific Basin Economic Council
PECC	Pacific Economic Cooperation Council
PGA	Parliamentarians for Global Action
PNND	Parliamentarians for Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament
PNoUNCCD	Parliamentary Network on the United Nations Convention to Combat
INCONCED	Desertification
PNoWB	Parliamentary Network on the World Bank
PUIC	Parliamentary Union of the Organisation of the Islamic Conference
	Member States
QUANGOs	Quasi-Non-Governmental Organizations
RCC	Regional Cooperation Council
REPAC	
REFAC	Network of Parliamentarians of the Economic Community of Central African States
SAARC	South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation
SADC PF	SADC Parliamentary Forum
SADC	Southern African Development Community
SADCC	Southern African Development Coordination Conference
SAPAC	South Asian Parliamentarians Against Corruption
SCPI	South Caucasus Parliamentary Initiative
SEAPAC	South East Asian Parliamentarians Against Corruption
SECCP	South-East European Cooperation Process
SECI	South European Cooperative Initiatve
SEE	South-Eastern Europe
SEECP CiO	
	SEECP Chairmanship in Office
SICA	Central American Integration System (Sistema de la Integración
	Centroamericana)
SLLF	State Legislative Leaders Foundation
UDEAC	Union Douanière et Economique de l'Afrique Centrale
UEMOA	West African Economic and Monetary Union (Union Economique et
	Monétaire Ouest Africaine)
UMA	Arab Maghreb Union (Union du Maghreb Arabe)
UMOA	Union Monétaire Ouest Africaine
UN	United Nations
UNCCD	United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
	•
	United Nations Development Programme
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNFPA	United Nations Population Fund
	United Nations Human Settlements Programme
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund

UNIFEM	United Nations Development Fund for Women
UNITAR	United Nations Institute for Training and Research
UNO	United Nations Organization
UNPA	United Nations Parliamentary Assembly
UNRISD	United Nations Research Institute for Social Development
UPM	Unión de Parlamentarios del MERCOSUR
W.H.I.A.	World Hellenic Inter-Parliamentary Association
WB	World Bank
WCRE	World Council for Renewable Energy
WEU	Western European Union
WHO	World Health Organization
WREPN	World Renewable Energy Parliamentary Network
WSF	World Social Forum
WSPU	World Scout Parliamentary Union
WTO	World Tourism Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization
WVTO	World Trade Organization
WWPP	World Women Parliamentarians for Peace
YPF	Young Parliamentarians Forum

References

Bauer, Barbara. 1998. Der völkerrechtliche Anspruch auf Demokratie, Zur Rolle internationaler Organisationen im weltweiten Demokratisierungsprozess. Frankfurt a. Main: Peter Lang

Brownlie, Ian and Guy S. Goodwin-Gill. 1999. Joint Opinion on the International Legal Personality of the IPU, its Status as an International Organization in International Law, and the Legal Implications of Such Status for IPU's Relations with Governments and Other International Organizations, Reform of the Interparliamentary Union, IPU-Dok. EX/229/9-Inf. I of 5 October.

Bummel, Andreas and Committee for a Democratic U.N. (ed.) 2010. Developing International Democracy, For a Parliamentary Assembly at the United Nations. Berlin: Committee for a Democratic U.N., 2nd ed.

Bummel, Andreas, Duncan Kerr and Fernando Iglesias. 2010. Democratizing Global Climate Policy through a United Nations Parliamentary Assembly. Paper presented at the conference 'Democratizing Climate Governance' at the Australian National University, July 15-16

Bundesverfassungsgericht, "Maastricht Judgement," in: BVerfGE 89, 1993: 155-213

Cutler, Robert M. 2001. "The Emergence of International Parliamentary Institutions: New Networks of Influence in World Society," in Gordon S. Smith and Daniel Wolfish (eds.) Who Is Afraid of the State? Canada in a World of Multiple Centres of Power. Toronto: University of Toronto Press

De Puig, Lluís Maria. 2008. International Parliaments. Strasbourg: Council of Europe Publishing

European Parliament. 2008. "Towards a reform of the World Trade Organization," Resolution P6_TA(2008)0180, April 24.

—. 2009. Committee on Budgetary Control, Directorate-General for Internal Policies of the Union and Directorate for Budgetary Affairs, Handbook 2009 for New Members of the Committee on Budgetary Control, Strasbourg: European Parliament

Fernández Flórez, Sara. 2001a. "El Parlamento Latinoamericano estrecha vínculos políticos en su comunidad." World Parliamentarian Journal, Edición en español 2(3): 2

Fernández Flórez, Sara. 2001b. "La postura de Costa Rica frente al Parlamentaro Centroamericano." World Parliamentarian Journal, Edición en español 2(3): 1-2

French National Assembly/Senate. 2001. Les organisations interparlementaires dans le monde, Esquisse d'une typologie, <u>http://www.assemblee-nationale.fr/11/dossiers/diplomatie.asp</u>

Ghebali, Victor-Yves. 1993/1992. The Conference of the Inter-Parliamentary Union on European Co-operation and Security (1973-1991), The Contribution of Parliamentary Diplomacy to East-West Détente/ Les Conférences de l'Union interparlamentaire sur la

cooperation et la sécurité européennes (1973-1991), La contribution de la diplomatie parlementaire à la détente est-ouest. Dartmouth/Bruxelles: Aldershot/Editions Juridiques Bruylant

Habegger, Beat. 2010. "Democratic Accountability of International Organizations: Parliamentary Control within the Council of Europe and the OSCE and the Prospects for the United Nations." *Cooperation and Conflict* 45(2): 186-204

—. 2005. Parlamentarismus in der internationalen Politik: Europarat, OSZE und Interparlamentarische Union. Baden-Baden: Nomos

Hübner, P. 1970. "Interparlamentarische Union," in Hans-Helmut and Sontheimer Röhring, Kurt (eds.) Handbuch des deutschen Parlamentarismus: Das Regierungssystem der Bundesrepublik in 270 Stichworten. München: Piper

International Court of Justice. 1949. Reparation for Injuries Suffered in the Service of the United Nations. Advisory Opinion: I.C. J. Reports 1949, International Court of Justice: 174-220

Inter-Parliamentary Union. 2005. Parliamentary Involvement in International Affairs. A report by the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) to the Second World Conference of Speakers of Parliaments. New York, September 7-9

Kissling, Claudia. 2006. Die Interparlamentarische Union im Wandel, Rechtspolitische Ansätze einer repräsentativ-parlamentarischen Gestaltung der Weltpolitik. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang

Klebes, Heinrich. 1990. "The Development of International Parliamentary Institutions." Constitutional and Parliamentary Information 1(159): 77-100

Klein, Hans H. and Werner Lauff. 1995. "Inter-Parliamentary Union," in *Encyclopedia of Public International Law*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B.V.: 1016-1018

Krut, Riva, Kristin Howard, Eric Howard, Harris Gleckman and Danielle Pattison. 1997. "Globalization and Civil Society: NGO Influence in International Decision-Making," in UNRISD Discussion Paper No. 83

Papageorgiou, Ioannis F. 2002. "The Role of Regional Parliaments in the Process of Regional Integration: The Case of the Central American Parliament." *The Federalist* XLIV(1): 8-20

Ruppel, Oliver C. and Francois X. Bangamwabo. 2008. "The SADC Tribunal: A Legal Analysis of its Mandate and Role in Regional Integration." in: Southern Africa Yearbook, Monitoring Regional Integration, Chapter 8: 1-43

Šabič, Zlatko. 2008. "Building Democratic and Responsible Global Governance: The Role of International Parliamentary Institutions." *Parliamentary Affairs* 61(2): 255-271

Schermers, Henry G. and Niels M. Blokkler. 2003. International Institutional Law. Boston: Nijhoff

Seidl-Hohenveldern, Ignaz and Gerhard Loibl. 2000. Das Recht der Internationalen Organisationen einschließlich der Supranationalen Gemeinschaften. Köln: Heymanns

Stavridis, Stelios. 2006. Parliamentary Diplomacy: Any Lessons for Regional Parliaments? Manuel Giménez Abad Foundation & the University of Zaragoza's PhD programme & the Cortes de Aragón Conference on The international relations of the Regions: subnational actors, paradiplomacy and multi-level governance, Zaragoza, 6-7 October 2006

United Nations. 2004. Secretary-General, Panel of Eminent Persons on United Nations-Civil Society Relations, We the Peoples: Civil Society, the United Nations and Global Governance, Report, UN-Doc. A/58/817

WEU Assembly. 2000. Mrs. Squarcialupi, Rapporteur, Parliamentary Diplomacy: The Role of International Assemblies. Report submitted on behalf of the Committee for Parliamentary and Public Relations

Wissenschaftliche Dienste des Deutschen Bundestages. 2001. "Die Nichtregierungsorganisation (= Non-Governmental Organization – NGO)." Der aktuelle Begriff 20(1)

Yearbook of International Organizations. 2001. "Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU)" in 38(IB): 1236-1237

INTERNATIONAL DEMOCRACY WATCH

INTERNATIONAL DEMOCRACY WATCH

C/o Centre for Studies on Federalism Via Real Collegio, 30 10024 Moncalieri (TO) - Italy Tel. +39 011.6705024 Fax +39 011.6705081 E-mail: finizio@csfederalismo.it Web site: www.internationaldemocracywatch.org